



Heather MacDonald Explains How Biden & Democrats Criminal-Justice Schemes Would Cause Anarchy

Heather MacDonald, author of *The War on Cops, How The New Attack On Law and Order Makes Everyone Less Safe*, explained that the Biden criminal-justice plan would revive the Obama administration's practice of slapping crippling costs of consent decrees on police departments without any evidence that civil rights were violated, and would expand consent decrees by going after prosecutors' offices if they actually prosecute crime. A consent decree is an agreement or settlement that resolves a dispute between two parties without admission of guilt (in a criminal case). She explained that Black people, age 10 to 43, die from homicide at a rate of 13 times higher than the rate of White people, but they are killed, not by police or Whites, but by other Black people. Black Lives Matter, Kamala Harris, and Joe Biden are silent on the topic of Black-on-Black homicide.

MacDonald says the solution is to rebuild the Black family but, short of that, we need more police and better law enforcement. Crime is skyrocketing in communities where police have backed off. The narrative that policing is racist will send the country into anarchy. -GEG



Biden Says More Riots are Justified, and Harris Bailed Out Two Murder Suspects and a Rapist

Democrat candidate Joe Biden gave a speech in Pittsburgh blaming the riots across America on Trump, saying “his failure to call on his own supporters to stop acting as an armed militia in this country shows how weak he is. Does anyone believe there will be less violence in America if Donald Trump is reelected?” Tucker Carlson says that Biden essentially said, “Vote for me or my people will keep blocking traffic and setting fires and hurting people.” Biden’s running mate, Kamala Harris, solicited donations for protesters through the Minnesota Freedom Fund to help post bail for those arrested during the riots. At least 13 members of Biden’s staff sent money to the rioters in Minneapolis. The Minnesota Freedom Fund (MFF) freed Jaleel Stallings, who shot at police. The Fund paid \$75,000 in cash to get him released; MFF spent \$100,000 to bail out Darnika Floyd, who is charged with second degree murder in the stabbing death of a friend; and MFF paid \$350,000 to bail out Christopher Boswell, a twice-convicted rapist who is currently charged with kidnapping, assault, and sexual assault. Biden has pledged that, if he is elected, he will end cash bail in every state. -GEG

Kamala Harris told Stephen Colbert in June that the protests

would not stop and should not stop – even after Election Day.

Three months ago, Kamala Harris asked her 3.7 million Twitter followers (now 5.4 million) to contribute to the Minnesota Freedom Fund, whose mission is to ‘help post bail for those protesting on the ground in Minnesota.’

Yet, as *Fox 9 News* in Minneapolis notes, the MFF has bailed out violent criminals who were rioting in Minneapolis following the death of George Floyd, a black man who had a ‘fatal level’ of fentanyl in his system when he died in police custody, after a police officer knelt on his neck for over eight minutes during a stop.

Fox 9 reports (via *The Federalist*):

Among those bailed out by the Minnesota Freedom Fund (MFF) is a suspect who shot at police, a woman accused of killing a friend, and a twice convicted sex offender, according to court records reviewed by the FOX 9 Investigators.

According to attempted murder charges, Jaleel Stallings shot at members of a SWAT Team during the riots in May. Police recovered a modified pistol that looks like an AK-47. MFF paid \$75,000 in cash to get Stallings out of jail.

Darnika Floyd is charged with second degree murder, for stabbing a friend to death. MFF paid \$100,000 cash for her release.

Christopher Boswell, a twice convicted rapist, is currently charged with kidnapping, assault, and sexual assault in two separate cases. MFF paid \$350,00 [sic] in cash for his release.

If Harris ends up as Vice President (or as some speculate, President) of the United States, will she promote initiatives with a similar lack of oversight – or even worse, which are

actively working to put violent offenders back on the streets? *The Federalist's* David Marcus sums it up as follows:

Harris was so eager to be on the rioters' team that she literally raised money for them in the hopes that they could be released and foster further mayhem.

That on its own would be bad enough. But the fact that she is now attempting to pretend she was against all of this violence, and looting, and arson, and destruction from the get go is an insulting joke. Did Harris really think that peaceful protesters obeying the rules were the ones arrested in Minneapolis? Of course she didn't. She just assumed that justifying the unrest would help Democrats' political chances. Now that this has been shown to be false, she is trying to change her position. It's way too late for that.

Read full article here...

Democrat Party Operatives Warn Media Not to Criticize Kamala Harris

Democrat operatives, pretending to be advocates for women, sent a memo to media organizations cautioning against criticism of vice presidential nominee Kamala Harris, under the threat that critical coverage will be declared racist and/or sexist, and that can destroy journalists reputations. They wrote, "We intend to collectively and individually monitor coverage and we will call out those we believe take our country backwards with sexist and/or racist coverage. As we enter another historic moment, we will be watching you."

The women who signed this warning include Democrat operatives like Valerie Jarrett, individuals associated with Planned Parenthood, Emily's List, and NARAL. -GEG

Media organizations have just been warned by the Democratic Party machine that they better be very, very careful with how they cover Joe Biden's vice presidential choice – just revealed to be Kamala Harris. Any criticism of the expected woman will be considered racist and sexist, we are told. Oh, they wrap up that threat in a word salad, but that's the bottom line: There should be second and even third thoughts about any criticism of Mr. Biden's VP pick.

An actual memo went out from an ad hoc group of Democratic operatives (pretending to be advocates for women) to media organizations with orders on how to proceed with their coverage. The fact that they expected this partisan missive to be accepted and adhered to by media entities tells you all you need to know about the problem with today's legacy media.

For decades, the Democrats have enjoyed a pliant media. Ranging from covering up for John F. Kennedy's affairs, to ignoring Hillary Clinton's health and corruption issues during the 2016 race, the media certainly have never needed such a public reminder about their duty to handle a Democratic politician like a fragile, and special, golden egg. So why now? Because identity politics demands it, and with news now disseminated beyond the broadcast networks and just a few loyal newspapers, Democrats need to reinforce the need for media protection in order to gaslight the public about their real agenda and inherent incompetence.

Hiding Mr. Biden in a basement has been absurdly accepted by the media. Unable to toss Ms. Harris into a literal basement, they're now trying to construct a virtual bunker for her.

The implication of the memo was any critical coverage deemed unfair (and all would be deemed so) will be declared racist and/or sexist. They even invoke George Floyd's name for extra

impact, “Our country – and your newsrooms – have learned a lot since the [death of George Floyd while in Minneapolis police custody] and the subsequent protests for racial equality that his death spurred ... We know from public reporting that many of your newsrooms had internal conversations about your coverage, your diversity and your editorial judgments,” it read in part.

“A woman VP candidate, and possibly a Black or Brown woman candidate, requires the same kind of internal consideration about systemic inequality as you undertook earlier this year ...” They write, “We are here to help you with this challenge ... We intend to collectively and individually monitor coverage and we will call out those we believe take our country backwards with sexist and/or racist coverage. As we enter another historic moment, we will be watching you.”

That’s subtle. Said no one ever.

The women signing onto to this warning include Democratic operatives like Valerie Jarrett – the Obama associate and surrogate for the Biden campaign, multiple individuals associated with Planned Parenthood, Hilary Rosen – a longtime Hillary Clinton operative, and representatives from other Democratic and liberal entities like Emily’s List and NARAL.

Read full article here...



2020 Presidential Candidate Kamala Harris, while California Atty General, Covered Up Planned Parenthood's Illegal Selling Baby Parts

In 2015, David Daleiden, an investigative journalist and the head of the Center for Medical Progress, released undercover video footage of Planned Parenthood executives discussing selling human fetal baby parts that had been aborted. Much of the footage was obtained in California when Kamala Harris was the state Attorney General. Harris refused to press charges against Planned Parenthood. Instead, she sent police to raid the journalist's home and seize his film footage. Daleiden was charged with 15 felony counts of illegal taping of confidential information. -GEG



Tulsi Gabbard Destroyed Kamala Harris in Second Democrat Presidential Debate. Twitter users (or Trolls?) Began Accusing Tulsi of being an agent of Russian President Putin.

Attacking the record of Senator Kamala Harris when she was a prosecutor, Tulsi Gabbard criticized the way Harris “put over 1,500 people in jail for marijuana violations and then laughed about it when asked if she ever smoked Marijuana”, “blocked evidence that would have freed an innocent man from death row until the court’s forced her to do so”, “kept people in prisons beyond their sentences to use them as cheap labor for the state of California”, and “fought to keep the cash bail system in place that impacts poor people in the worst kind of way”. Twitter users (or trolls?) accused Tulsi of being an agent of Russian President Putin.

In the race to determine who will serve as Commander in Chief of the most powerful military force in the history of civilization, night two of the CNN Democratic presidential debates saw less than six minutes dedicated to discussing US military policy during the 180-minute event.

That’s six, as in the number before seven. Not sixty. Not

sixteen. Six. From the moment Jake Tapper said “I want to turn to foreign policy” to the moment Don Lemon interrupted Congresswoman Tulsi Gabbard just as she was preparing to correctly explain how President Trump is supporting Al-Qaeda in Idlib, approximately five minutes and fifty seconds had elapsed. The questions then turned toward the Mueller report and impeachment proceedings.

Night one of the CNN debates saw almost twice as much time, with a whole eleven minutes by my count dedicated to questions of war and peace for the leadership of the most warlike nation on the planet. This discrepancy could very well be due to the fact that night two was the slot allotted to Gabbard, whose campaign largely revolves around the platform of ending US warmongering. CNN is a virulent establishment propaganda firm with an extensive history of promoting lies and brazen psyops in facilitation of US imperialism, so it would make sense that they would try to avoid a subject which would inevitably lead to unauthorized truth-telling on the matter.

But the near-absence of foreign policy discussion didn't stop the Hawaii congresswoman from getting in some unauthorized truth-telling anyway.

Attacking the authoritarian prosecutorial record of Senator Kamala Harris to thunderous applause from the audience, Gabbard criticized the way her opponent “put over 1,500 people

in jail
for marijuana violations and then laughed about it when she
was asked if
she ever smoked marijuana,” “blocked evidence that would have
freed an
innocent man from death row until the court’s forced her to do
so,”
“kept people in prisons beyond their sentences to use them as
cheap
labor for the state of California,” and “fought to keep the
cash bail
system in place that impacts poor people in the worst kind of
way.”

Read full article here...

Additional source:



Kamala Harris Proposes \$100

Billion Grant to Help Black Families Become Home Owners

Democrat Presidential candidate, Kamala Harris, proposed a \$100 billion US Housing and Urban Development grant to provide up to \$25,000 for down payments and closing costs. Harris' bill would also loosen credit standards with the goal of creating more home loans, which is similar to the subprime loan policy that tanked the US economy in 2008. She blamed the credit rating that she claims holds people back, and she proposed adding rent payments, cell phone payments and utility payments that would go toward individuals' credit scores, but it could also destroy their credit score.

Taking aim at the racial wealth gap in the U.S., Democratic presidential candidate Kamala Harris proposed a \$100 billion program to help black families and individuals buy homes.

Speaking

at the Essence Festival in New Orleans on Saturday, Harris said the program would help with down payments and other costs associated with purchasing homes.

The program, she estimated, would help 4 million families who live or rent in historically red-lined areas, or those where loans are often refused because borrowers are seen as poor financial risks.

“We must right the wrong, and after generations of discrimination give black families a real shot at home-ownership – historically one of the most powerful drivers of wealth,” Harris said.

The program, which would be administrated by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, would give grants of up to \$25,000 to families with incomes of up to \$100,000, or as much as \$125,000 in high-income communities. Harris said the plan would, over time, reduce the wealth gap between black and white families by one-third.

[Read full article here...](#)



2020 Dem Candidates Biden and

Harris Say the US Has an Obligation to Provide Free Healthcare to Illegal Aliens

2020 Democrat presidential candidates Joe Biden and Kamala Harris support free healthcare for illegal aliens, which Biden said was an “obligation” of US taxpayers. It is unknown how much free healthcare will cost, because there is no record of how many are living in the US illegally. [Free healthcare is a welfare magnet that will draw even more people to migrate to the US, which is the globalist plan to overwhelm the US economy and bring Americans to their knees begging to be rescued at any cost, including their freedom.] -GEG



ZERO Senate Democrats Vote in Favor of Green New Deal, Including Revolution Co-

Sponsors. The Green New Deal Aims to Eliminate Cars and Airplanes

All Senate Democrats abandoned Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez' radical Green New Deal that was written by her team of 'Justice Democrats' advisors. The GND aims to shut down the economy, retrofit every building in America, and eliminate personal vehicles and air travel. Senator Kamala Harris, a 2020 presidential candidate, was a co-sponsor of the resolution last month, but refused to stand behind it in a vote. Senators Cory Booker, Elizabeth Warren and Kirsten Gillibrand, also running in the presidential race, backed away from it, too.

Not a single U.S. Senator – including the Democrats – voted in favor moving the ball forward freshman New York Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez's Green New Deal Tuesday.

The first vote, called by Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell (R-Ky.), would have opened up the floor for debate on a non-binding resolution. For that, the Senate only needs 60 votes. It received none.

"No senator voted to begin debate on the legislation, while 57 lawmakers voted against breaking the filibuster," according to Fox News.

“Democratic Sens. Doug Jones of Alabama, Joe Manchin of West Virginia and Kyrsten Sinema of Arizona joined 53 Republicans in voting ‘no.’ Sen. Angus King, I-Maine, who caucuses with the Democrats, also voted no.’”

Forty-two Senate Democrats voted “present.”

McConnell called the vote largely to see where Democrats stood on the measure. None are biting, especially not presidential candidates Sen. Cory Booker (D-N.J.), Elizabeth Warren (D-Mass.), Kamala Harris (D-Calif.) or Kirsten Gillibrand (D-N.Y.). The legislation represents a giant swerve to the left, even for the already-radicalized among the party.

Read full article here...

Link for Green New Deal Resolution:

<https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/house-resolution/109/text>



Democrat Presidential Candidate Kamala Harris Admits Her Goal in 2020 Is to Alter “Human Behavior”

Democratic presidential hopeful Senator Kamala Harris, while campaigning, said that she is looking to alter “human behavior” with regard to implementing drastic changes to cut global warming by eliminating fossil fuels. Changing human behavior is the opposite of freedom. Leftists are usually more careful with their language instead of exposing their true totalitarian goals when trying to appeal to voters in a general election. Harris is an original co-sponsor of the Green New Deal is projected to cost up to \$93 trillion.

Patrick Moore, the co-founder of Greenpeace, says that the Green New Deal aims to phase out oil and gas usage over 10 years, which will impact farming and the transportation of food to cities, causing massive starvation and death across the world.

Campaigning in Myrtle Beach, South Carolina over the weekend, Democratic presidential hopeful Senator Kamala Harris (D-CA)

made it clear that she is looking to alter “human behavior.”

Harris, a climate alarmist who just signed onto the outrageously expensive and oftentimes incoherent “Green New Deal,” essentially argued that tackling environmental issues means politicians conditioning and controlling their constituents – for their own good, of course.

“It is a fact that we can change human behaviors without much change to our lifestyle and we can save the future generations of our country and this world,” Harris told a crowd of supporters.

“But there has been a failure to do that because this administration, and the people who are apart of it, are in the pocket of big oil and are denying what we know is a reality around greenhouse emissions and what we need to do to curb those,” she continued, bluntly adding: “We need a new President.”

Harris was mocked online by conservatives for uttering out loud the Left’s true totalitarian leanings. After all, savvy progressives know that such admissions are supposed to only be referenced in coded

language.

“The essential premise espoused by Harris is one that has deep roots in the Democrat philosophy. Raising prices and taxes on everything from carbon-based fuel to fattening foods is a hallmark of Democrat legislating and rule-making, from Capitol Hill down to city halls across the country. It is in that sense not a surprising thought for Harris to have or even share, but it was delivered decidedly more ‘on the nose’ than such liberal reflexes usually are by politicians hoping to win a popular vote,” argued TheBlaze.

[Read full article here...](#)