

WHO Renews Push for Global Pandemic Treaty, as World Bank Creates \$1 Billion Fund for Vaccine Passports

written by GEG | August 15, 2022



The World Health Organization (WHO) is moving ahead with plans to enact a new international pandemic treaty, despite objections to the plan. The WHO director-general may already declare a public health emergency in any country, without the consent of that country's government. Dr. Mercola is concerned that the WHO would get the power to implement digital identities/vaccine passports, mandatory vaccinations, travel restrictions, standardized medical care and more. A US Department of Homeland Security contractor **described** vaccine certificates/ passports as driving "the whole field of digital ID in the future," adding they are "not just about COVID [but] about something even bigger" and that "once adopted for COVID [they] will be rapidly used for everything else."

Meanwhile, the World Economic Forum, African Union and World Bank, which created a \$1 billion fund for "disease surveillance," are developing their own pandemic response mechanisms, including new cross-country vaccine passport frameworks.

The World Health Organization (WHO) is moving ahead with plans to enact a new or revised international pandemic preparedness treaty, despite **encountering setbacks** earlier this summer after dozens of countries, primarily outside the Western world, objected to the plan.

A majority of WHO member states on July 21, during a meeting of WHO's **Intergovernmental Negotiating Body** (INB), **agreed** to pursue a legally binding pandemic instrument that will contain "both legally binding as well as non-legally binding elements."

STAT News **described** the agreement, which would create a new global framework for responding to pandemics, as "the most transformative global health call to action since [the] WHO itself was formed as the first specialized United Nations agency in 1948."

Meanwhile, the World Economic Forum, African Union and World Bank – which created a **\$1 billion fund** for "disease surveillance" and "support against the current as well as future pandemics" – are developing their own pandemic response mechanisms, including new cross-country **vaccine passport** frameworks.

WHO's 'pandemic treaty': what's been proposed and what would it mean?

Ongoing talks to formulate a new or revised "pandemic treaty" are building on the existing international framework for global pandemic response, the WHO's **International Health Regulations** (IHR), considered a **binding instrument** of international law.

On Dec. 1, 2021, in response to **calls** from various governments for a "strengthened global pandemic strategy" and signaling the urgency with which these entities are acting, the WHO formally **launched** the process of creating a new treaty or amending the IHR, during **Special Session** – only the second in the organization's history

During the meeting, held May 10-11, WHO's 194 member countries **unanimously agreed** to launch the process, which **previously** had been discussed only informally.

The member countries agreed to:

"Kickstart a global process to draft and negotiate a convention, agreement or other international instrument under the Constitution of the World Health Organization to strengthen pandemic prevention, preparedness and response."

The **IHR**, a relatively recent development, were first enacted in 2005, in the **aftermath** of SARS-CoV-1.

The IHR legal framework is one of only two binding treaties the WHO has achieved since its inception, the other being the **Framework Convention on Tobacco Control**.

The IHR framework already **allows** the WHO director-general to declare a public health emergency in any country, without the consent of that country's government, though the framework requires the two sides to first attempt to reach an agreement.

The **proposals** for a new or revised pandemic treaty, put forth at the special ministerial session of the WHO in May, would "somewhat" strengthen the WHO's pandemic-related powers, including establishing a "Compliance Committee" that would issue advisory recommendations for states.

However, **according to the Daily Sceptic**, while the IHR is already legally binding, the amendments proposed in May would not strengthen existing legal obligations or requirements:

"The existing treaty regulations, like all (or most) international law, do not actually compel states to do anything other than talk to the WHO and listen to it, and neither do they specify sanctions for non-compliance; almost all their output is advice.

"The proposed amendments don't alter that. They don't allow the WHO unilaterally to impose legally binding measures on or within countries."

The Daily Sceptic **noted** one of the risks stemming from the negotiations for a new or updated treaty include the potential codification of "the new lockdown orthodoxy for future pandemics," which would "replace the sound, science-based, pre-COVID recommendations" previously in place.

According to Dr. Joseph Mercola, such a treaty would grant the WHO "absolute power over global biosecurity, such as the power to implement digital identities/vaccine passports, mandatory vaccinations, travel restrictions, standardized medical care and more."

Mercola also questioned a "one-size-fits-all approach to pandemic response," pointing out that "pandemic threats are not identical in all parts of the world. In his view, he said, "the WHO is not qualified to make global health decisions."

Similar concerns contributed at least in part to **opposition** against the proposals presented at the special ministerial session, during which a bloc of mostly non-Western countries, including China, India, Russia and 47 African nations, **prevented** an agreement from being finalized.

Will opposition fade away?

Although no final agreement was achieved at the May meeting, **consensus** was reached to organize a new special ministerial session of the WHO later this year, possibly after the WHO's World Health Assembly, scheduled for Nov. 29 through Dec. 1, **Reuters reported**.

Mxolisi Nkosi, South Africa's ambassador to the UN, told the WHO's annual ministerial assembly the new special session would "consider the benefits for such a convention, agreement or other international instrument."

Nkosi added:

"Probably the most important lesson COVID-19 has taught us is the need for stronger and more agile collective defences against health threats as well as for building resilience to address future potential pandemics.

"A new pandemic treaty is central to this."

At the time, the U.K.'s ambassador to the UN, Simon Manley, addressing the lack of an immediate agreement and the consensus to hold a new meeting, **tweeted** "negotiations may take time, but this is a historic step towards global health security.

The INB, at its meeting held in Geneva July 18-21, also **agreed** with this view, reaching a consensus that its members will work on finalizing a new legally binding international pandemic agreement by May 2024.

As part of this **process**, the INB will meet again in December and will deliver a progress report to the 76th World Health Assembly of the WHO in 2023.

According to the **WHO**, “Any new agreement, if any when agreed by Member States, is drafted and negotiated by governments themselves, [which] will take any action in line with their sovereignty.”

The WHO further **claims** that “governments themselves will determine actions under the accord while considering their own national laws and regulations.”

The **Biden administration** expressed broad support for a new or updated pandemic treaty, with the U.S. **heading** previous negotiations on this issue, along with the **European Commission**, via its president Ursula von der Leyen, who, as **previously reported** by The Defender, is also a strong proponent of vaccine passports and mandatory COVID-19 vaccination.

An **analysis** by the Alliance for Natural Health International speculated that any final agreement may simply strengthen the existing IHR or, alternatively, may involve an amendment to the WHO’s constitution – or both.

Just two days after the July 21 INB agreement, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, the WHO’s director-general, **tweeted**:

“I’m pleased that alongside the process of negotiating a new [international] accord on pandemic preparedness & response, WHO’s Member States are also considering targeted amendments to the [IHR], incl. ways to improve the process for declaring a [public health emergency of international concern, or PHEIC].”

In the same Twitter **thread**, he also declared the ongoing **monkeypox outbreak** “a public health emergency of international concern,” one “that is concentrated among men who have sex with men, especially those with multiple sexual partners.”

Notably, the WHO director-general **overruled** an expert panel that was divided over whether to classify the outbreak as a global public health emergency.

With this declaration, three “global health emergencies” **are now in place**, as determined by the WHO: COVID-19, monkeypox and polio.

Busy summer for vaccine passport proposals

While the WHO and global governments weigh plans for an updated or new pandemic treaty, other organizations are moving forward on vaccine passport technologies and partnerships.

On July 8, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), composed of many of the world’s industrialized nations, **announced** it would promote the unification of the different **vaccine passport systems** currently in use around the world.

Thirty-six countries and international organizations participated in a July meeting with the goal of “creating a multilateral framework for establishing a global vaccine passport regime,” **according to** Nick Corbishley of Naked Capitalism.

The development is a continuation of efforts involving the WHO to harmonize global vaccine passport regimes.

In February, the WHO **selected** Germany's T-Systems as an "industry partner to develop the vaccination validation service," which would enable "vaccination certificates to be checked across national borders."

T-Systems, an arm of Deutsche Telekom, was previously instrumental in developing the **interoperability** of vaccine passport systems in Europe.

Also in July, 21 African governments "**quietly embraced**" a vaccine passport system, which in turn would also be interlinked with other such systems globally.

On July 8, which is also Africa Integration Day, the African Union and the Africa Centers for Disease Control **launched** a digital vaccine passport valid throughout the African Union, **describing** it as "the e-health backbone" of Africa's "new health order."

This follows the **development** in 2021, of the **Trusted Travel** platform, now required by several African countries, including Ethiopia, Kenya, Togo and Zimbabwe, and air carriers such as EgyptAir, Ethiopian Airlines and Kenya Airways, for both inbound and outbound travel.

Beyond Africa, Indonesia, which currently holds the rotating **presidency** of the G20, is **conducting** "pilot projects" that would bring about the interoperability of the various digital vaccine passport systems currently in use globally. The project is expected to be completed by November, in time for the G20 Leaders' Summit.

Naked Capitalism **highlighted** the role of South African company Cassava Fintech in the efforts to develop an interoperable vaccine passport for all of Africa.

A subsidiary of African telecommunication company Econet, Cassava initially developed the "**Sasail**" app, which the company described as Africa's first "global super app" that combines "social payments" with the ability to send and receive money and pay bills, chat with others and play games.

Cassava and Econet **entered** into a **strategic partnership** with Mastercard, "to advance digital inclusion across Africa and collaborate on a range of initiatives, including expansion of the Africa CDC TravelPass."

As **previously reported by The Defender**, Mastercard supports the Good Health Pass vaccine passport initiative that is also backed by the **ID2020 alliance** and **endorsed** by **embattled** former U.K. prime minister Tony Blair.

Mastercard has also **promoted technology** that can be embedded into the **D0 Card**, a credit/debit card that keeps track of one's "**personal carbon allowance**."

ID2020, founded in 2016, **claims** to support "ethical, privacy-protecting approaches to digital ID." Its **founding partners** include Microsoft, the **Rockefeller Foundation**, Accenture, GAVI-The Vaccine Alliance (itself a **core partner** of the WHO), UNICEF, the **Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation** and the World Bank.

Mastercard's **top two stockholders** are Vanguard and BlackRock, which hold **significant stakes** in dozens of companies that supported the development of vaccine passports or implemented vaccine mandates for their employees. The two investment firms also hold large stakes in vaccine manufacturers, including Pfizer, Moderna and Johnson & Johnson.

Mastercard provides funding for the World Bank's **Identity for Development (ID4D)** Program, which "focuses on promoting digital identification systems to improve development outcomes while maintaining trust and privacy."

The Center for Human Rights and Global Justice at the New York School of Law recently **described** the ID4D program, which touts its alignment with the UN's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) , as one which could pave the way to a "**digital road to hell.**"

According to the center, this would occur through the prioritization of "economic identity" and the use of an infrastructure that has "been linked to severe and large-scale human rights violations" in several countries.

Mastercard is also active in Africa through its **joint initiative** with another fintech (financial technology) company, Paycode, to "increase access to financial services and government assistance for remote communities across Africa" via a biometric identity system containing the data of 30 million individuals.

World Bank, WHO promote 'pandemic preparedness' and vaccine passports

The World Bank in late June **announced** the creation of a fund that will "finance investments in strengthening the fight against pandemics" and "support prevention, preparedness and response ... with a focus on low- and middle-income countries."

The fund was **developed** under the lead of the U.S., Italy and current G20 president Indonesia, "with broad support from the G20," and will be active later this year.

It will provide more than \$1 billion in funding for areas such as "disease surveillance" and "support against the current as well as future pandemics."

The WHO is also a "stakeholder" in the project and will provide "technical expertise," according to WHO's director-general.

The agreement follows a 2019 **strategic partnership** between the UN and the World Economic Forum, to "accelerate" the implementation of the UN's 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and its SDGs.

Although the **agreement** has recently **circulated** on social media, it was announced in June 2019, prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. It encompasses six areas of focus, including "health" and "digital cooperation."

In terms of health, the agreement purports that it will "support countries [sic] achieve good health and well-being for all, within the context of the 2030 Agenda, focusing on key emerging global health threats that require stronger multistakeholder partnership and action."

In turn, the "digital cooperation" promoted by the agreement will purportedly "meet the

needs of the **Fourth Industrial Revolution** while seeking to advance global analysis, dialogue and standards for digital governance and digital inclusiveness.”

However, despite rhetoric preaching “inclusiveness,” individuals and entities that have refused to go along with applications such as vaccine passports have faced repercussions in their personal and professional lives.

Such was the example of a Canadian doctor who was **fined** \$6,255 in June over her refusal to use the country’s ArriveCAN health information app – which is being **investigated** over privacy concerns – to enter the country.

Dr. Ann Gillies **said** she was fined when re-entering Canada after attending a conference in the U.S.

Andrew Bud, the CEO of biometric ID company iProove, a U.S. Department of Homeland Security contractor, **described** vaccine certificates as driving “the whole field of digital ID in the future,” adding they are “not just about COVID [but] about something even bigger” and that “once adopted for COVID [they] will be rapidly used for everything else.”

[Read full article here...](#)

Author

Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D., is an independent journalist and researcher based in Athens, Greece.

© August 9, 2022 Children’s Health Defense, Inc. This work is reproduced and distributed with the permission of Children’s Health Defense, Inc. Want to learn more from Children’s Health Defense? **Sign up** for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children’s Health Defense. Your **donation** will help to support us in our efforts.