CDC Plans to Authorize Updated PCR Test. Will It Be Any Better than the Old One?

written by GEG | August 5, 2021



Investigative journalist and author Jon Rappoport, after analyzing the CDC report "07/21/2021: Lab Alert: Changes to CDC RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 Testing", said their request to withdraw the Emergency Use Authorization for the PCR diagnostic test is not a sign that the CDC and the FDA have giving up on PCR testing but, instead, are preparing for an upgrade. The CDC's document admits it did not have a SARS-CoV-2 virus when it concocted the PCR test. They created a theoretical fabrication of it by using "contrived specimens" generated from a range of SARS-CoV-2 material sources. The CDC granted emergency authorizations to 59 different PCR tests, based on 59 varieties of "contrived specimens", in which no two were alike. The tests, of course, were useless. The CDC and FDA now are claiming they finally do have virus samples of SARS-CoV-2 from patients; that they now know what to look for, and that labs should start gearing up for new and improved PCR tests. They have yet to present the isolated virus to back their claims - GEG

The CDC has issued a document that bulges with interesting and devastating admissions.

The release is titled, "07/21/2021: Lab Alert: Changes to CDC RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 Testing." [1] It begins explosively:

"After December 31, 2021, CDC will withdraw the request to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) of the CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel, the assay first introduced in February 2020 for detection of SARS-CoV-2 only. CDC is providing this advance notice for clinical laboratories to have adequate time to select and implement one of the many FDA-authorized alternatives."

Many people believe this means the CDC is giving up on the PCR test as a means of "detecting the virus." I don't think the CDC is saying that at all.

They're saying the PCR technology will continue to be used, but they're replacing what the test is looking FOR with a better "reference sample." A better marker. A better

target. A better piece of RNA supposedly derived from SARS-CoV-2.

CDC/FDA are confessing there has been a PROBLEM with the PCR test which has been used to detect the virus, starting in February of 2020—right up to this minute.

In other words, the millions and millions of "COVID cases" based on the PCR test in use are all suspect.

To confirm this, the CDC document links to an FDA release titled, "SARS-CoV-2 Reference Panel Comparative Data." [2] [2a] Here is a killer quote:

"During the early months of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, clinical specimens [of the virus] were not readily available to developers of IVDs [in vitro diagnostics] to detect SARS-CoV-2. Therefore, the FDA authorized IVDs based on available data from contrived samples generated from a range of SARS-CoV-2 material sources (for example, gene specific RNA, synthetic RNA, or whole genome viral RNA) for analytical and clinical performance evaluation. While validation using these contrived specimens provided a measure of confidence in test performance at the beginning of the pandemic, it is not feasible to precisely compare the performance of various tests that used contrived specimens because each test validated performance using samples derived from different gene specific, synthetic, or genomic nucleic acid sources."

Translation: We, at the CDC, did not have a specimen of the SARS-CoV-2 virus when we concocted the PCR test for SARS-CoV-2. Yes, it's unbelievable, right? And that's the test we've been using all along. So we CONTRIVED samples of the virus. We fabricated. We lied. We made up [invented] synthetic gene sequences and we SAID these sequences HAD TO BE close to the sequence of SARS-CoV-2, without having the faintest idea of what we were doing, because, again, we didn't have an actual specimen of the virus. We had no proof THERE WAS something called SARS-CoV-2.

This amazing FDA document goes to say the Agency has granted emergency approval to 59 different PCR tests since the beginning of the (fake) pandemic. 59. And, "...it is not feasible to precisely compare the performance of various tests that used contrived specimens because each test validated performance using samples derived from different gene specific, synthetic, or genomic nucleic acid sources."

Translation: Each of the 59 different PCR tests for SARS-CoV-2 told different lies and concocted different fabrications about the genetic makeup of the virus—the virus we didn't have. Obviously, then, these tests would give unreliable results.

Read full article here...