

Report: Mark Zuckerberg's \$419-Million Non-Profit Contributions 'Improperly Influenced 2020 Presidential Election'

written by GEG | December 21, 2020



A report by the Amistad Project of the Thomas More Society alleged Facebook founder Mark Zuckerberg and his wife made \$419.5-million in contributions to non-profit organizations during the 2020 election cycle, which improperly influenced the 2020 presidential election in favor of Joe Biden. Zuckerberg and other high-tech interests, along with activist organizations, used hundreds of millions of dollars to create a two-tiered election system that favored Democrats and disadvantaged Republican voters. The two-tier system was created with funding from a billionaires who used COVID as a pretense for early mail-in voting that favored Democrats over Republicans. Private money was used to fund city and county election management that allowed drop boxes to collect absentee ballots and, thereby, break the chain of custody. The director of the investigation said, "This report paints a clear picture of a cabal of billionaires and activists using their wealth to subvert, control, and fundamentally alter the electoral system itself."

-GEG

A report released by the Amistad Project of the Thomas More Society at a press conference on Wednesday alleged Facebook founder Mark Zuckerberg and his wife made \$419.5 million in contributions to non-profit organizations during the 2020 election cycle—\$350 million to the "Safe Elections" Project of the [Center for Technology and Civic Life](#) (CTCL) and another \$69.5 million to the [Center for Election Innovation and Research](#)—that, "improperly influence[d] the 2020 presidential election on behalf of one particular candidate and party."

"The 2020 presidential election witnessed an unprecedented and coordinated public-private partnership to improperly influence the 2020 presidential election on behalf of one particular candidate and party. Funded by hundreds of millions of dollars from

Facebook founder Mark Zuckerberg and other high-tech interests, activist organizations created a two-tiered election system that treated voters differently depending on whether they lived in Democrat or Republican strongholds," Amistad Project Director Phill Kline **wrote** in the report's executive summary.

The **report** identified three key actions that, taken together, "represent the beginning of the formation of a two-tier election system favoring one demographic while disadvantaging another demographic."

- Private monies dictated city and county election management contrary to both federal law and state election plans endorsed and developed by state legislatures with authority granted by the United States Constitution.
- Executive officials in swing states facilitated, through unique and novel contracts, the sharing of private and sensitive information about citizens within those states with private interests, some whom actively promote leftist candidates and agendas.
- Swing state governors also started issuing emergency executive orders shutting down in-person voting while pouring new state resources into encouraging persons to vote in advance. Polling data revealed this coordinated assault on in-person voting generally favored Democrat Party voters who preferred to vote in advance, while placing Republicans, who preferred to vote in person, at a disadvantage. These actions represent the beginning of the formation of a two-tier election system favoring one demographic while disadvantaging another demographic.

"This evidence is present and available to all Americans," Kline said at the press conference of the information included in the report.

"The mainstream media has also tried to censor this evidence," he noted, adding that, "America understands that there are serious problems with this election."

"This effectively is a shadow government running our elections," Kline continued.

"This network pumped hundreds of millions of dollars into local election systems using the COVID crisis as a pretense. Our report proves that in reality it was nothing more than a naked attempt to purchase an election. 'Zuckerbucks' and local election officials invited a billionaire into the consolidated ballot counting centers while kicking out the American people," Kline **said** in the statement accompanying the release of the report:

"This report paints a clear picture of a cabal of billionaires and activists using their wealth to subvert, control, and fundamentally alter the electoral system itself," Kline added. "We must act now to prevent such privatized elections in the future. The American public deserves transparent and fair elections, not lawless elections directed by powerful private interests."

In addition to Zuckerberg, the main foundations funding the effort to subvert the electoral system were The Democracy Fund, New Venture Fund, Skoll Foundation, and Knight Foundation, according to the report. Key nonprofits involved in distributing the money include CTCL, the Center for [Election] Innovation Research, the Center for Civic Design, the National

Vote at Home Institute, the Center for Secure and Modern Elections, and Rock the Vote.

The report demonstrates that funding from nonprofits was especially unnecessary in Michigan, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin, as the federal government had already provided sufficient funding through both the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) and the CARES Act.

[Read full article here...](#)