



Restaurant Blames CARES Act For Labor Shortage Due to High Unemployment Pay

The \$2-trillion CARES Act included a \$1,200 stimulus check and an additional \$600 weekly payment for the unemployed, which is more than some people earn from work. Government payments that cushion households from economic hardship will prolong the recovery in the labor market, because many people will be paid more to sit at home than to work. An Arizona restaurant owner who was allowed to reopen says that many of her furloughed employees are staying home and have told her their pay from the government is better than working at her restaurant. She says there are about 30 job openings, but rehiring is challenging because people are comfortable with unemployment benefits.

One of the great tragedies in America today is that of the CARES Act, which included a \$1,200 stimulus check and an additional \$600 weekly payment for the unemployed, has given millions of Americans their first real taste of socialism, that is the sweet taste of the forbidden fruit of free of money.

The Trump administration has unleashed helicopter money directed at households that will have severe consequences. Not too long ago, we noted how government intervention, cushioning households from an economic depression, will prolong the recovery in the labor market as people get paid more to sit at

home than work and be productive in society:

“So don’t expect the unemployment rate to bounce back very much once this pandemic begins to subside. Congress has decided to make it very financially rewarding not to work, and millions upon millions of Americans are going to be more than happy to take advantage of that opportunity for as long as it lasts.”

As Arizona’s economy reopens, one restaurant owner has blamed the CARES Act for labor shortages, even though she says the national unemployment rate is very high.

“With an unemployment rate at almost 20%, you’d think we’d have a lot of applicants coming in, but we’re not,” said Times Square Italian Restaurant owner Paulette Cano, who recently spoke with AZFamily.

Cano said the CARES Act and unemployment checks have resulted in many of her furloughed employees staying home. They collectively told her their pay from the government is much better than working at her restaurant.

“They don’t want to come back to work,” said Cano. “It’s the unemployment. They’re receiving about \$840 a week, which puts them about \$22 an hour.”

At the moment, there are 30 job openings at the restaurant with dozens of furloughed employees sitting at home collecting welfare. She said rehiring is challenging because people are demanding +\$20 per hour, or around the hourly rate, they’re receiving from unemployment and federal dollars.

“They’re asking for \$20 an hour, which makes it difficult because we operate under slim margins,” said Cano.

Read full article here...



Washington State: Sheriff May Be Recalled For Not Enforcing Lockdown Order

Everett, Washington: Snohomish County Sheriff Adam Fortney is facing a potential recall after a judge declared he violated his oath to uphold the law by saying he would not enforce lockdown orders. Ironically, Governor Inslee's lockdown order cannot be enforced without violating the Washington state constitution. A woman by the name of Lori Shavlik filed a recall petition against Sheriff Fortney but must gather 42,000 signatures over the next six months. She says she will hire professionals to run the recall effort. A second recall petition has been filed and was endorsed by two defense attorneys, an immigration lawyer, and a civil attorney. Sheriff Fortney's request for the county to cover the legal costs for responding to the recall was denied. A fundraising page established to help pay for his legal expenses already has raised over \$68,000.

The Snohomish County sheriff is facing a potential recall after a judge decided he violated his oath to uphold the law by saying he wouldn't enforce any lockdown orders.

However, law enforcement has no legal way to enforce the lockdown order on individuals without violating the Washington State constitution, and so Governor Jay Inslee has not asked law enforcement to be involved.

Instead, the Attorney General's Office is taking regulatory actions against businesses which violate the order.

In a Facebook post on April 21, Snohomish County Sheriff Adam Fortney outlined his frustrations with the stay-at-home order and argued that the lockdown violates citizens' constitutional rights.

"As your Snohomish County Sheriff, yes I believe that preventing business owners to operate their businesses and provide for their families intrudes on our right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness," the sheriff wrote. "I am greatly concerned for our small business owners and single-income families who have lost their primary source of income needed for survival."

Sheriff Fortney noted that "this virus is very real" and agreed that "appropriate precautions need to be taken to protect our most vulnerable populations."

But the government has been "picking winners or losers" with regards to who is allowed to work and who is prohibited, he said.

Sheriff Fortney pointed out that landscaping around the courthouse has continued because the government deemed it as essential, but that contractors building residential homes have been ordered to remain shut down.

"If we are going to allow government contractors and pot shops to continue to make a living for their families, then it is time to open up this freedom for other small business owners who are comfortable operating in the current climate," he wrote.

The sheriff also argued that citizens are capable of and willing to take precautions on their own.

"Our communities have already shown and continue to show they

understand the severity of the situation and are doing all they can already to keep themselves, their families and neighbors safe and healthy," he added.

Sheriff Fortney vowed to keep citizens' "constitutional rights above politics or popular opinion," and said that the "impacts of COVID 19 no longer warrant the suspension" of those rights.

"Along with other elected Sheriffs around our state, the Snohomish County Sheriff's Office will not be enforcing an order preventing religious freedoms or constitutional rights," the sheriff concluded. "This is not a time to blindly follow, this is a time to lead the way."

His statement was little more than political posturing because the governor has not even requested local law enforcement's involvement in the lockdown order.

In a subsequent post on May 4, Sheriff Fortney said he has received "overwhelming expressions of support" on the issue, to include an endorsement by the Snohomish County Deputy Sheriff's Association.

"I have never encouraged defiance of the law," he clarified. "The governor has not asked any law enforcement agencies to enforce the order. What our office is doing is consistent with law enforcement agencies statewide and follows the recommendation from the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs."

On Friday, Cowlitz County Superior Court Judge Stephen Warning determined that a recall petition filed by Bothell resident Lori Shavlik would be allowed to proceed, KING reported.

According to Warning, Sheriff Fortney is violating his oath to uphold the law by refusing to enforce the state's lockdown order which he's not even supposed to be enforcing.

Shavlik alleged that the sheriff's words emboldened at least

one business owner to reopen in violation of the executive order.

“You absolutely have to stand by the statute of the law because it is the standard set by the government to establish safety for our communities,” She told KING. “[Sheriff Fortney’s message is] causing harm to people, even if it’s a joke. Even if it’s not true or he didn’t mean to cause harm, it’s causing harm.”

Shavlik said that she has no choice but to spearhead the effort to remove Sheriff Forney from office.

“I’m not gonna be happy that I displaced someone from their job,” she told KING. “It’s about justice.”

In order to move forward with a recall vote, Shavlik must gather approximately 42,000 signatures over the course of the next six months.

She said she plans to hire professionals to handle the recall effort, KIRO reported.

“This isn’t my recall – it’s the community’s,” Shavlik said.

Sheriff Fortney doubled-down on his stance in a Facebook post after the ruling was made on Friday.

Read full article here...



Why It Is Impossible to Prove That Viruses or Bacteria Cause Disease

Dr. Tom Cowan, MD, says that scientists have never proved that either viruses or bacteria cause disease, because they never have been isolated and purified sufficiently to eliminate the possibility that something else was the cause. Even Louis Pasteur wrote in this diary that he was unable to do this. Without proof, the entire germ theory of infectious disease is based on belief, not science.



Illinois Governor Says Small Business Owners Can Be Jailed for a Year If They Reopen

Governor Pritzker amended Illinois Public-Health rules so business owners can be charged with a Class-A misdemeanor for violating his closure order. Now business owners can face a fine of \$2,500 and one year in prison. The Joint Committee on Administrative Rules is facing pressure to strike down Pritzker's new rule and will meet Wednesday morning. Several sheriffs in the state have refused to enforce Pritzker's

order, citing their Oath of Office and loyalty to the Constitution.

Last week Illinois Democrat Governor J. B. Pritzker warned the people of Illinois the state in lockdown and businesses shut down until the end of May. He later told CNN the citizens of Illinois could be ordered to socially distance and wear masks indefinitely.

Now Governor J.B. Pritzker warned small business owners that if they try to reopen their businesses they could face up to a year in prison.

Pritzker the tyrant wants to jail business owners.

Illinois Policy Org. reported:

Small business owners trying to save their livelihoods could face up to a year in prison under Gov. J.B. Pritzker's new emergency rules, unless a key committee fights back.

Members of a key legislative committee are scheduled to meet May 20 to discuss a newly filed emergency rule that criminalizes businesses who defy Gov. J.B. Pritzker's stay at home order.

Pritzker amended Illinois Department of Public Health rules so business owners can be charged with a Class A misdemeanor for violating his closure order. The rule immediately took effect Friday, and now business owners can face a maximum penalty fine of \$2,500 and one year in prison. Since this new rule was filed as an emergency rule, it would be effective for 150 days.

Read full article here...

Additional source:

Illinois Governor Pritzker Threatens Business Owners with a Year in Prison if They Try to Reopen



Bill Gates and the Population Control Grid

Are the rumors true that Bill Gates is funding vaccine programs, not to reduce deaths but to reduce births? And is it true that his philanthropic projects have been doing this by disguising their anti-fertility vaccines as anti-disease vaccines so the women receiving the shots become sterilized without their consent? The answer is a resounding yes and, as you will see in this documentary, the proof is not even hard to find. This documentary begins by showing the history of Gates' life-long compulsion to reduce the human population and then shows how the vaccine technologies he has funded for that purpose now are being deployed to identify and track every human on the planet. This is not for health purposes but for economic, social, and political control. James Corbett, as usual, has done an excellent job documenting and analyzing the facts.

Transcript:

Episode 379 – Bill Gates and the Population Control Grid