



California Bans Suspensions of Disruptive Students Who Refuse to Obey Teachers and Administrators – Because It's Racist

A new law is taking place in 2020 making it illegal for public schools to suspend students in first through fifth grade who are unruly and defy teachers or administrators. The following year, this law will extend to students from sixth through eighth grade. Suspensions were made illegal after supporters claimed that disciplinary action targeted students of color. - GEG

Among the dumbest of the state of California's [new 'woke' legislation for 2020](#) is that it's set to ban all public and charter schools from suspending students for 'willful defiance' in this upcoming year:

A California bill that passed the Legislature would prohibit schools, including charter schools, from suspending students for willful defiance.

That means if a student is acting up in class, teachers and school officials will not be able to suspend them from school.

As if California public schools weren't [already woefully behind](#) national education standards, despite the state pouring \$90 billion into the system this year alone, schools will now be forced to keep kids on campus no matter their level of constant defiance and disruption to the educational process of others.

Under the law, SB 419, the only exception for which a student could still be suspended will be for bringing a weapon or illegal drugs to school.

And what's the rationale? Because of course, *racism*.

As a local NBC affiliate reported earlier when the bill was [passed by the state House and Senate](#):

The bill by Sen. Nancy Skinner, D-Berkeley, would ban the suspension of students in grades K-8 for refusing to obey a teacher or administrator, a practice known as willful defiance.

"I've dealt with a lot of these cases," said Berry Accius, founder of Voice of the Youth, a nonprofit mentoring program in Sacramento. "Unfortunately, I've had kids that have been suspended for sometimes three months."

Accius said school suspensions are used disproportionately against students of color.

"African American males and females, they are suspended at a higher rate – especially the African American males," Accius said.

No doubt the jobs of California school teachers and administrators just got immensely harder. It will take effect starting July 1, 2020.

[Read full article here...](#)



Ireland: New Laws Will Ban Cars Fueled by Gasoline or Diesel

The government in Ireland is planning to ban cars that run on gas or diesel fuel by outlawing new registrations by 2030, claiming that it is part of a strategy to protect the environment. Politicians aim to ensure one third of the vehicles on the road will be electric within a decade. The government's Climate Action Plan aims to reduce emissions and be carbon neutral by 2050. -GEG

The Government is drafting new laws that would ban new petrol and diesel car registrations by 2030, as part of a strategy to protect the environment.

The aim is to ensure that one third of vehicles on the roads are electric in ten years' time.

The Sunday Independent reported that Minister for Communications, Climate Action and Environment Richard Bruton is planning to publish the law early in the new year.

The new measures will be included in the Climate Action Amendment Bill 2019.

It is understood that the bill will be developed further in conjunction with other departments.

The Government's Climate Action Plan aims to reduce emissions and be carbon neutral by 2050.

[Read full article here..](#)



Corporate Lobbying Group ALEC Behind Mandatory Vaccine Agenda

The American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC), a “non-profit organization” made up of ‘conservative’ state legislators and corporate private-sector partners, is planning and writing legislation that is beneficial to the member corporations. About 1,000 bills based on ALEC language are introduced each year, with 20% of them being enacted. Many of the members are Big Pharma companies. ALEC is a driving force behind the current drive for mandatory vaccine bills, which are a source of enormous profits for drug manufacturers. -GEG

Over the last several months, Americans have witnessed an increase in media propaganda regarding the “dangers” of “anti-vaxxers,” the “proven science of vaccines,” and the “tragedies” that ensue from the failure to [vaccinate](#). That propaganda blitz has resulted in massive hysteria stemming from similar levels of ignorance.

Also resulting from the push by Big Pharma-funded corporate

media outlets is the emotional and panicked campaign of pro-vaxxers, vaccine pushers, and adherents to the relatively recent new religion of “scientism” – the religious belief in anything labeled as science or scientific, regardless of whether or not that concept directly contradicts observable reality and experience or even regardless of whether or not it is actually scientific.

The so-called vaccine debate – which is not truly a debate since a debate requires the participation of two opposing sides – is generally nothing more than a shouting and shaming campaign against parents who have come to the conclusion that vaccines are not safe, effective, or neither.

Indeed, it is the unbridled emotion of the pro-vaccine camp that has been provoked and subsequently harnessed into a powerhouse of vitriol and social pressure that is then presented as a public health crisis. The howling of the trendy masses, glued to their televisions, sitcoms, and NPR, is then presented as an organic public outcry in the media, resulting in the conveniently timed response of politicians and lawmakers.

Of course, with the creation of the false debate, there is also the political polarization of the issue – the left must be pitted against the right – in a typical but tried and true method of divide and conquer strategy.

Originally, holding questions regarding the safety or effectiveness of vaccinations was something that bridged political boundaries. Granted, the individuals who held these views were a minority. However, those numbers were growing and could be found in the midst of liberals and conservatives, libertarians and socialists, and even those completely unaligned to any ideology.

Now, however, that is beginning to change. The Big Pharma companies that fund the mainstream media and the political

parasites infecting the federal and state capitols have managed to turn this debate into a partisan issue.

The propaganda campaign has been successful among members of all political denominations, but particularly so among the left. This is because the left is made up of a population that is well-trained to believe anything presented to them under the guise of science in much the same way as the right who are designed to believe anything presented in a religious context.

The result of this massive absorption of indoctrination is that we have the passage of bills mandating that children be vaccinated by force of law in California and even the attempt to force adults to be vaccinated as well.

With mandates coming out of California, North Carolina, and Vermont, clearly there is a nationwide agenda at foot.

But while those on the left continue to attack Koch Industries and ALEC for funding a number of horrific economic policies and divisive domestic campaigns, painting any idea they oppose coming from the Republican camps as a "Koch-funded" program (it often is), the reality is that the leftists are the biggest dupes in the vaccine game.

This is because, while leftists hawk vaccines and pride themselves on their obedience to doctors and "scientists," they are doing nothing more than falling into line with a massive Koch-funded and ALEC-facilitated propaganda campaign.

American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC)

For those who may not be familiar with the American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC), the council is considered a "non-profit organization" made up of Conservative state legislators and corporate private sector "partners." This mixture of government officials and corporate agents then meet regularly, replete with funding from major corporations all across the world to discuss, plan, write, and submit

legislation that is beneficial to the corporations.

In one sense, ALEC is a massive corporate lobbying firm. In another, however, ALEC is much more, since much of the legislation submitted by the attentive congressman is actually written for the Senator or Representative by the agents of the organization. It is an organization that provides funding and direction (marching orders) for Congressmen, particularly those at the state level.

While slimy billionaires like [George Soros act as the guiding force behind much of the American left](#), ALEC and KOCH Industries tend to fill the same void for the right; although, in truth, most of the corporations that make up ALEC are those who also fund Democratic candidates. Presentation, however, in a carefully crafted political theatre like the United States, is paramount.

As Alan Greenblatt [describes the organization in his article for Governing](#),

For decades, the American Legislative Exchange Council has been a force in shaping conservative policies at the state level. Today, its impact is even more pervasive. Its legislative ideas are resonating in practically every area of state government, from education and health to energy, environment and tax policy. The group, which brings together legislators with representatives from corporations, think tanks and foundations to craft model bills, has rung up an impressive score. Roughly 1,000 bills based on ALEC language are introduced in an average year, with about 20 percent getting enacted.

[Brendan Greeley of Bloomberg Business](#) describes ALEC in a similar fashion. He writes,

For three decades, the American Legislative Exchange Council, the meeting's host, has brought together corporations

(including Pfizer ([PFE](#)), AT&T ([T](#)), and ExxonMobil ([XOM](#))) and state legislators to write what it calls model bills—pieces of legislation the industries would like to become law. Often this means protecting favored tax treatment or keeping regulations at bay. ALEC has also approved model bills on social issues, including gun control and voter registration. The bills then get passed around among the 1,800 mostly Republican legislators who are ALEC members. They introduce the model bills about 1,000 times a year in state capitols around the country, the group says. About 200 become law. ALEC pays for the meetings through membership fees (called donations) that corporations pay. The legislators receive travel stipends (called scholarships) to attend the meetings. ALEC is registered with the IRS as a nonprofit that provides a public service, not as a lobbyist that seeks to influence.

This offers two benefits: Corporate members can deduct yearly dues, which run up to \$25,000—more if they want to sponsor meetings; and ALEC doesn't have to disclose the names of legislators and executives who attend. That's important, because if ALEC operated with complete openness it would have difficulty operating at all. ALEC has attracted a wide and wealthy range of supporters in part because it's done its work behind closed doors. Membership lists were secret. The origins of the model bills were secret. Part of ALEC's mission is to present industry-backed legislation as grass-roots work. If this were to become clear to everyone, there'd be no reason for corporations to use it.

While ALEC has pushed a number of bills regarding divisive wedge issues (it has to keep up its conservative veneer), it focuses mostly on economic issues promoting free market, Austrian school, deregulation, free trade, and other policies supported by major banks and corporations.

But ALEC is also a major pusher of laws regarding medical issues – not merely in the context of the American healthcare

system, but also in the context of personal choice.

Despite all the rhetoric of ALEC and its puppets in Congress, the position of the organization and its puppets is not necessarily in favor of personal choice. This much has been made clear in the form of mandates and force of law, particularly in the area of vaccination.

This should not be surprising considering ALEC's [many Big Pharma members](#). While the organization is made up of a plethora of major corporations Big Pharma [makes up a sizable portion](#) of its ranks.

Below are a very small few of pharmaceutical companies that are part of ALEC's operations.

- Astellas Pharma Inc.
- Bayer
- Dupont (Dupont Merck Pharmaceuticals)
- Eli Lilly
- Endo Pharmaceuticals
- Express Scripts
- GlaxoSmithKline
- Hoechst- Roussell Pharmaceutical Corporation
- Hoffman La-Roche
- Imperial Chemical Industries Pharmaceuticals
- Johnson & Johnson
- Mylan Pharmaceuticals
- Novo Nordisk
- Pharmacia and UpJohn
- Purdue Pharma
- Pfizer
- Solvay Pharmaceutical
- Takeda Pharmaceutical
- TEVA Pharmaceuticals
- TogetherRX Access ([made up of](#) ABBVIE, GSK, Janssen, Lifescan, Pfizer, Stiefel, Viiv Healthcare, Vistakon Pharmaceuticals)

- The UpJohn Co.

These names are only a small few of the myriad of pharmaceutical companies, vaccine manufacturers, and other interested parties who are listed as members of ALEC. Many of these companies are concealed even further by a veil of umbrella “organizations” acting as front operations.

ALEC And Vaccines

With such a massive list of major pharmaceutical companies amidst ALEC’s ranks, it should come as no real surprise that ALEC would be one of the driving forces behind the recent spate of “mandatory vaccine bills” popping up all across the country. Indeed, its motto should be “Personal Choice For Corporations. Government Enforced Mandates For People.”

Remember, it was ALEC that crafted the “model” legislation “[Immunization of Minors On TANF](#),” legislation that would have required parents on TANF assistance to require proof that their children were fully vaccinated according to the “recommended” levels. If those families did not show proof of their child’s vaccination, those families would lose their TANF benefits.

While exemptions were left intact in this “model” legislation, ALEC has stepped up its attack on parental rights by going after the exemption status in later bills.

For instance, consider the attempt to remove Vermont citizens’ rights to a philosophical exemption to vaccination known as SB 199, a bill that caught many in Vermont by complete surprise. Of course, when one takes a look at the key players and possible motivations, it becomes more obvious as to how this bill came to be and why.

SB 199 was submitted in the Senate by ALEC’s Vermont Chair Senator, Kevin Mullin, and in the House by a notorious vaccine pusher and vaccine damage denier. [As Barbara Loe Fisher writes](#)

[for National Vaccine Information Center,](#)

S199 was introduced in the state Senate by Kevin Mullin, who is [VT chair of the Pharma-funded American Legislative Exchange Council](#) (ALEC), and was introduced in the state House by Representative George Till, M.D., at the request of Harry Chen, M.D., Vermont's Health Commissioner. Dr. Chen, who was a Vermont state representative and former chair of the Vermont House Health Care Committee for four years, has [publicly downplayed vaccine risks](#).

S199 was supported by the VT Dept. of Health and state government supported institutions, such as the University of Vermont, as well as medical trade associations that receive money from pharmaceutical corporations selling vaccines in the U.S., including the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), March of Dimes, Every Child by Two and the American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC). Other organizations endorsing elimination of the philosophical exemption included the Vermont Academy of Family Physicians, Fletcher Allen, Vermont Association of Hospitals and Health Systems, Voices for VT Children, Vermont Pharmacists Association, Rutland Medical Center, and Vermont Medical Society.

Edward Kentish seconded Fisher's criticism in his [op-ed for VTDigger.com](#) when he wrote,

Here ALEC's Vermont chairman, Republican Sen. Kevin Mullin, introduced S.199, a bill seeking to end the "philosophical exemption" in the childhood vaccine laws. A Republican introducing a health care bill, one that removes a parent's rights, one that obliges all children to participate in a health care plan. ... An ugly duckling if ever there was one! Just doesn't look like all the rest.

The duckling looks even uglier in the light of Vermont's exemplary health statistics. We rank right up there on general health, low incidence of infectious diseases, and low

child mortality. What's the problem, what motivates such a bill? Well, several corporations have recently publicly cut their ties with ALEC over ALEC's Stand Your Ground gun laws, underscoring the reality that ALEC is funded by corporations, and we may guess has their interests at heart more than your child's well-being.

One might be tempted to argue that the vaccine bill submitted in Vermont was merely an anomaly. That is, one would be tempted to make this argument if the Vermont bill was the only such bill submitted and supported by ALEC and its members.

In California, the infamous and fascist SB 277 which unfortunately became law was introduced by another vaccine fanatic and high priest of the religion of scientism, Richard Pan. Ben Allen, however, the second State Senator to introduce the legislation into the California state Senate is himself connected to ALEC. [As Maureen Cruise of LA Progressive wrote](#) in regards to Allen's funding,

Among other wealthy conservative donors are William E. Oberndorf, a California billionaire investor who funds conservative causes such as the privatization of education which he promotes via the [American Legislative Exchange Council](#) (ALEC). He has contributed to the Karl Rove PAC and to Jeb Bush and the GW Bush Foundation. The Fisher Family of Gap and a dozen other corporations are fans of privatization of the public sector and charter schools.

Oberndorf, the ALEC big wig, is a major donor of Allen.

Cruise also points out that a sizable portion of Allen's campaign contributions come directly from pharmaceutical interests.

A similar story is discovered in North Carolina, where notoriously arrogant and corrupt Senator Jeff Tarte – in

between fits of whining and rage – introduced a bill that would have removed all vaccine exemption rights (except for medical exemptions) from parents and children, including homeschool children.

As if his last name did not accurately describe his disposition, Senator Tarte was one of the main [sponsors of the NC bill SB 346](#), a bill that would have eliminated the “religious exemption” clause in the recommended vaccine schedule for children entering NC public schools. He was also a main contender for the title of [worst public relations interaction](#) with a constituent in the state of North Carolina in the last several years.

In keeping with the trend of recent events, however, Tarte is also a member of the ALEC organization, a feather in his cap that he was not shy in advertising in his weekly newsletter. Tarte not only is a member, but an active participant taking part in speaking events and even a seat on the ALEC Education Council.



Conclusion

The goal of forced vaccination has been in existence for quite some time, going back to a number of elite think tanks decades ago and the halls of pharmaceutical companies. Major pharmaceutical companies, for many obvious (or should be obvious) reasons would also like to mandate vaccination. Increased profits from the vaccine sales and the treatment of resulting disease, as well as the cover-up of vaccine risks by a population free of a control group are but a few of the reasons such corporations are supporting the vaccine mandates.

After all, as Bertrand Russell stated as far back as 1953,

Diet, injections, and injunctions will combine, from a very early age, to produce the sort of character and the sort of beliefs that the authorities consider desirable, and any serious criticism of the powers that be will become psychologically impossible. . . .

[Read full article here..](#)



**UK Health Service to Deny
Treatment to Politically**

Incorrect Patients

A branch of the UK's National Health Service announced a new policy under which it may withdraw treatment from patients who they think are racist or sexist. This latest instance of government-enforced political correctness demonstrates the dangers of allowing government to provide healthcare. When the state pays for your treatment, it has the power to deny that treatment if you fail to obey. -GEG

A trust of the U.K.'s National Health Service (NHS) has unveiled a new policy under which it may withdraw treatment from patients deemed racist or sexist.

The North Bristol NHS Trust [announced the launch](#) of its "Red Card to Racism" campaign, which aims to protect staff from discrimination.

The policy establishes a "sports-style" disciplinary system in which patients receive a yellow card and eventually a red card for offenses.

Once a patient receives a red card, his or her treatment is withdrawn "as soon as is safe."

"Any visitors causing disturbance or behaving in an unacceptable manner will get one verbal warning before being removed," the North Bristol NHS Trust's website reads.

The types of behavior classified as "abusive" include "racist or sexist language, gestures or behavior" and "malicious allegations."

The prohibition of "malicious allegations" alone may be a possible source of concern for patients, considering NHS's previous malpractice scandals in which staff initially denied the accusations, such as a [2018 case](#) in which 456 patients died after the unjustified administration of opioids.

Regarding the “Red Card to Racism” program, North Bristol NHS Trust Chief Executive Andrea Young said:

We have staff from many different backgrounds, from all over the world, and we pride ourselves on our commitment to equality which is a fundamental value of the NHS.

We’re sending a strong signal that any racism or discrimination is completely unacceptable – we want staff to challenge and report it and we want everyone to know that it will have consequences.

According to the trust, the change is motivated by an allegedly growing number of incidents of racism. Cases of offensive behavior will be reported “on the trust’s incident management system and on patients’ individual notes.”

The trust is promoting the program by putting up posters around the hospital and publishing photos and videos on social media that encourage staff to speak up if they witness racism or discrimination on-site, assuring them they are protected by the policy.

The hospital is also encouraging staff to join its Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic Network as a way of providing support to any affected staff.

Alex Raikes with [Stand Against Racism & Inequality](#) (SARI), a U.K.-based group that “provides support and advice to victims of hate,” said:

SARI is working with a number of hospital trusts regarding racist incidents towards staff and patients, and is pleased to be supporting a dedicated working group at NBT in enforcing the zero tolerance approach towards racism and hate crime.

It is crucial that hospitals are safe, respectful and inclusive environments, and that all the hard-working employees’ feel fully supported when they face such abuse.

It's great to see NBT putting out the strongest message possible to the wider community about the abhorrence of any forms of discrimination against their staff or visitors.

The policy as currently announced does not make clear how low the bar lies for determining whether behavior is sufficiently offensive to merit a patient's withdrawal.

In a [highly publicized case from 2017](#), a female NHS patient complained when she requested a female nurse to carry out a cervical smear only for the hospital to send a person with "an obviously male appearance ... close-cropped hair, a male facial appearance and voice, large number of tattoos and facial stubble."

When the patient pointed out what she believed to be a mistake, the nurse replied, "My gender is not male. I'm a transsexual."

In that case, the patient forewent the cervical smear, but ultimately received an apology from the Central and North West London NHS Foundation Trust.

[Read full article here...](#)