



Jury Returns an \$80 Million Blowout Verdict Against Roundup Glyphosate Weed Killer, Owned by Bayer AG

Bayer AG bought Monsanto and the company has lost a second trial over claims that its Roundup weed killer causes cancer. Bayer has been ordered by a San Francisco jury to pay compensatory damages of \$5.3 million and punitive damages of \$75 million to Edwin Hardeman, 70, who was diagnosed with cancer after spraying the herbicide on his property for 26 years. The verdict follows a similar decision last August in which a former school groundskeeper was awarded \$289 million after claiming that Roundup gave him non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Bayer, is facing more than 9000 similar lawsuits across the US.

Bayer AG has lost a second trial over claims that its Roundup weed killer causes cancer – and has been ordered by a San Francisco jury to pay compensatory damages of \$5.3 million and punitive damages of \$75 million to a 70-year-old California man, Edwin Hardeman, who was diagnosed with cancer after spraying the herbicide on his property for decades.

The plaintiff's attorneys said he developed non-Hodgkin's lymphoma after 26 years of regularly using Roundup to tackle weeds and poison oak, [according to the Wall Street Journal](#). The active ingredient in Roundup and Ranger Pro is glyphosate, a herbicide.

Wednesday's verdict follows a similar decision last August in which a former school groundskeeper was [awarded \\$289 million](#) after claiming that Roundup gave him non-Hodgkin's lymphoma.

German Bayer AG acquired the Roundup brand of glyphosate weed killers in its \$66 billion purchase of Monsanto in June of last year.

Responding to the verdict, Bayer said in a statement "We are disappointed with the jury's decision, but this verdict does not change the weight of over four decades of extensive science and the conclusions of regulators worldwide that support the safety of our glyphosate-based herbicides and that they are not carcinogenic."

"You can't keep trying case after case after case and keep losing and say, 'We're not going to settle,'" said Thomas G. Rohback, a trial lawyer at Axinn in New York quoted by *Bloomberg*, who adds that if Bayer continues to lose at trial, it "has to put the possibility of a settlement of these cases into the mix."

[Wednesday's case](#) is considered a "bellwether" trial for hundreds of other plaintiffs in the US with similar claims, which

means the verdict could affect future litigation and other cancer patients and families. Monsanto, now owned by the German pharmaceutical company Bayer, is [facing more than 9,000 similar lawsuits](#) across the US.

[Read full article here...](#)



Migrants Leaving Libya Who Hijacked a Merchant Ship that Rescued Them Have Landed in Europe

The captain of an empty merchant ship returning to Libya was instructed by the owner of the ship, who had been directed by Libyan naval guards, to rescue migrants sailing away from Libya. There were 100 migrants, including 15 women and 47 claiming to be minors. The captain reported that the migrants were unarmed, but did outnumber his crew, and used force to turn the ship toward Italy or Malta. Malta took possession of the ship and arrested some of the migrants. The fact that the

migrants made it to European soil makes it unlikely that they will be sent back to Libya.

Italy refused the migrants. Due to the anti-illegal immigration policies of Italian Interior Minister Matteo Salvini, migrant arrivals in Italy were down dramatically last year to 23,370 from nearly 120,000 a year earlier. Deaths at sea also sank to the lowest levels in five years, 2,299, according to the International Organization for Migration.

VALLETTA, Malta (AP) – A group of migrants desperate not to go back to Libya made it safely to Europe on Thursday after commandeering the oil tanker that rescued them at sea – a drama that underlined contradictions in Europe’s migration policies and could discourage future rescues.

Both Italy and Malta initially refused entry to the Palau-flagged El Hiblu 1. But Maltese armed forces intercepted it overnight after confirming with the captain that he was navigating toward Europe against his will. Special forces boarded it and restored control to the crew.

The 100 migrants, including 15 women and 47 claiming to be minors, left the tanker in a port near the Maltese capital of Valletta; five were handcuffed after being detained on suspicion of being the ringleaders.

Italy's hard-line interior minister called the hijacking the "the first act of piracy on the high seas with migrants." But humanitarian groups rejected that label, saying they were victims of "Europe's inhumane border policy," citing [reports that many migrants have been mistreated, raped and tortured in Libya.](#)

The German humanitarian group Sea-Eye said its rescue ship, the Alan Kurdi, was in the area of the El Hiblu 1 when it heard radio communications between the tanker and a European aircraft monitoring the seas.

The aircraft asked the tanker to respond to two rubber boats, saying that the people on board were "in mortal danger" and that the Libyan coast guard was "out of service." After the rescue, the captain reported to the aircraft that the migrants "are very upset and do not want to be brought back to Libya." However, the captain said the Libyan capital of Tripoli was the tanker's destination.

Sea-Eye spokeswoman Carlotta Weibl said that they don't have exact information of what happened aboard the El Hiblu 1, but that "we don't see it as piracy because those people were claiming their right. It was completely illegal for a European plane to send them back to Libya."

Migrants

have long reported that commercial ships either ignore smugglers' boats

in distress, or merely stop to give them water, said Hassiba Hadj-Sahraoui, humanitarian affairs adviser with Doctors Without

Borders. Similar incidents could accelerate the trend.

"They are

doomed whatever they do," she said. "This is extremely disturbing for

commercial ships. The shipping industry is trying to follow a tenant of

international law, which is rescue. ... But if you are a commercial ship

on tight deadlines and you need to deliver goods, it is an impossible

situation."

The International Chamber of Shipping based in London

expressed concern about the incident and said it would raise the issue

with the U.N. International Maritime Organization.

"If a ship is

directed to disembark rescued people in Libya, it creates a potential

for conflict between the crew and desperate and frustrated people that

might object to being returned," ICS secretary-general Guy Platten said

in a statement. He added that civilian merchant seafarers "can be

severely affected by the traumatic situations they have to

face, having complied with their legal and humanitarian obligation to come to the rescue of anyone found in distress at sea.”

The European Union has been training the Libyan coast guard in the hope that it will prevent migrants from entering international waters, where they have routinely been rescued, either by commercial ships or those run by humanitarian groups filling in the void after member countries significantly scaled down an EU operation in the Mediterranean.

But the contradiction lies in the fact that no EU member considers Libya, or any other northern African country, to be a “safe third country” where migrants can be returned without fear for their well-being. The EU also opposes the death penalty, and in extradition cases generally refuses to send people to countries where they might be killed or tortured.

Matthew Brook, the acting representative for the U.N. refugee agency in Libya, told reporters in Geneva that the conditions for many migrants in government-run detention centers in Libya were “terrible.” He relayed an

anecdote from some migrants that electric shocks were administered to them in vats of water.

While Europe is reducing its own rescue mission, Italy and Malta have refused to accept aid group rescue ships in their ports. That has led to offshore standoffs with boats loaded with migrants, often weak from their journey and mistreatment in Libya, while EU nations haggle over their fate.

[Read full article here...](#)



Facebook Bans All 'Praise, Support, and Representation of White Nationalism and Separatism' Following the New

Zealand Shooting Event

Facebook announced they'll be banning all "praise, support, and representation of white nationalism and white separatism" on Facebook and Instagram. Other race-based nationalist and separatist movements will not be banned. The government of Australia is threatening to pass laws that would jail social media executives if they fail to quickly remove 'extremist' material from their platforms, but the definition of extremist is expected to be biased in favor of collectivist ideology. Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau also threatened to force social media companies to ban "hateful" and "extremist" content. -GEG

Facebook

on Wednesday announced they'll be banning all "praise, support and representation of white nationalism and white separatism" on Facebook and Instagram but will still allow other race-based nationalist and separatist movements.

Facebook had [said previously](#)

they were against banning white nationalism and white separatism because they felt that under the same rules they'd also have to ban Zionism and black separatism.

Facebook's statement [reads](#):

Today we're announcing a ban on praise, support and representation of white nationalism and white separatism on Facebook and Instagram, which we'll start enforcing next

week. It's clear that these concepts are deeply linked to organized hate groups and have no place on our services.

Our policies have long prohibited hateful treatment of people based on characteristics such as race, ethnicity or religion – and that has always included white supremacy. We didn't originally apply the same rationale to expressions of white nationalism and white separatism because we were thinking about broader concepts of nationalism and separatism – things like American pride and Basque separatism, which are an important part of people's identity.

But over the past three months our conversations with members of civil society and academics who are experts in race relations around the world have confirmed that white nationalism and white separatism cannot be meaningfully separated from white supremacy and organized hate groups. Our own review of hate figures and organizations – as defined by our [Dangerous Individuals & Organizations policy](#) – further revealed the overlap between white nationalism and white separatism and white supremacy. Going forward, while people will still be able to demonstrate pride in their ethnic heritage, we will not tolerate praise or support for white nationalism and white separatism.

We also need to get better and faster at finding and removing hate from our platforms. Over the past few years we have improved our ability to use machine learning and artificial intelligence to find material from terrorist groups. Last fall, we started using similar tools to extend our efforts to a range of hate groups globally, including white supremacists. We're making progress, but we know we have a lot more work to do.

Our efforts to combat hate don't stop here. As part of today's announcement, we'll also start connecting people who search for terms associated with white supremacy to resources focused on helping people leave behind hate groups. People

searching for these terms will be directed to [Life After Hate](#), an organization founded by former violent extremists that provides crisis intervention, education, support groups and outreach.

Unfortunately,
there will always be people who try to game our systems to spread hate.
Our challenge is to stay ahead by continuing to improve our technologies, evolve our policies and work with experts who can bolster
our own efforts. We are deeply committed and will share updates as this
process moves forward.

VICE [reported](#)

Wednesday that the new policy “doesn’t change the company’s existing
policies on separatist and nationalist movements more generally; content
relating to Black separatist movements and the Basque separatist
movement, for example, will still be allowed.”

Independent journalist Nick Monroe noted this is the first time he’s seen a “race-based social media policy.”

[Read full article here...](#)



Rockland County in New York Has Banned Unvaccinated Children from Public Places, Including School

Rockland County in New York declared a 30-day State of Emergency over an outbreak of measles, and anyone under 18 years of age and not vaccinated against measles will be barred from public places, including schools, stores, and houses of worship, until they receive the MMR triple vaccine (mumps, measles and rubella). Six-thousand children have been ordered to stay home from school and away from public places. The measles outbreak has affected about 150 children. Those who violate the edict face a possible penalty of six-months in prison and/or a \$500 fine. County officials say that they are not planning to actually arrest anyone – for now. -GEG

One county in New York is taking extremely drastic measures in an attempt to force all children to get vaccinated for measles. Starting on Wednesday, any child that has not received the measles

vaccine will
be banned from all public places. That means that they will
not be able
to go to school, to church or to any store. In fact, just
walking down
the sidewalk will be a violation of this countywide “state of
emergency”. Rockland County has essentially declared a state
of medical
martial law, and it is scheduled to last [for the next 30 days...](#)

*Rockland County declared a countywide
State of Emergency relating to the ongoing measles outbreak –
the
longest outbreak since the disease was eradicated in the
United States
in 2000, according to officials.*

*Effective at the stroke of midnight, Wednesday, anyone
who is under 18 years of age and unvaccinated against the
measles will
be barred from public places until this declaration expires
in 30 days
or until they receive the MMR vaccination.*

So what has caused Rockland County to go to such extremes?

According to Rockland County Executive Ed Day, something had
to be
done because there have been 153 confirmed cases of measles in
the
county.

And the penalties that will be imposed upon anyone convicted of violating this state of medical martial law [are quite draconian](#)...

Anyone found in violation of the declaration could spend six months in jail and/or a \$500 fine, Day said. However, Day said the county is not looking to arrest people, but rather a means to grab the public's attention.

According to Day, county officials have been met with "pockets of resistance" from people unwilling to comply with health department advise and this played a part in the declaration.

Of course other communities all across America will be watching to see how the people of Rockland County respond to this declaration of medical martial law. If there is no uproar, it will set a very important national precedent, and inevitably other local governments around the nation will try the exact same thing.

And where will it stop?

Will all vaccines eventually be forced upon us in such a manner? The following comes from [Natural News](#)...

Notably, the government can mandate new vaccines at any time, then claim those new vaccines must also be injected into everyone at gunpoint, then banning those who don't comply from leaving their own homes. Effectively, this new mandate makes people prisoners in their own homes unless they obey the government's insane, anti-science vaccination mandates rooted in Big Pharma corruption and the routine bribery of doctors and public health officials.

We live at a time when our most basic health freedoms are under attack. If we don't [stand up now](#), we will lose them forever.

More scientific research is coming out all the time that is showing that there are very serious safety concerns regarding our vaccines.

For example, a study that was just released found a clear link [between autism and aluminum in vaccines...](#)

Autistic children have up to 10 times more of the metal in their brains than what is considered safe in adults, a study found.

Aluminium crosses the membrane that separates the brain from circulating blood and accumulates in cells involved in maintaining a constant internal environment, such as temperature, the

research adds.

Study author Professor Chris Exley from Keele University, said: 'Perhaps we now have the link between vaccination and autism spectrum disorder (ASD), the link being the inclusion of an aluminium adjuvant in the vaccine.'

And another study that former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson recently discussed found that seniors are actually more likely to die [after getting a flu vaccine...](#)

In her [blog](#), Attkisson cites a buried [JAMA study](#) from almost a decade ago which showed that there was no improvement in mortality rates among senior citizens with a flu vaccine, even after greatly increased vaccination rates. The study "got little attention," she says, "because the science came down on the wrong side." Whereas the researchers had set out to prove that the push for massive flu vaccination would save the world, the researchers were "astonished" to find that the data did not support their presupposition at all. The data actually shows that deaths increased, not decreased, among seniors following vaccination.

When are we going to wake up?

During the drug trials for one flu vaccine that is being specifically marketed to seniors this year, a total of 23 seniors [actually died](#)...

The high-dose Fluzone vaccine being marketed this flu season to seniors, which has four times the amount of antigens that the regular flu shot has, as well as the non-high dose version, had 23 seniors die during drug trials.

But of course that flu vaccine got put on the market anyway, and we may never know how many seniors have died as a result.

Many doctors will privately admit that people die from vaccine reactions, but they insist that the “benefits” outweigh the costs.

To me, there is no possible “benefit” that can outweigh [this high of a cost](#)...

[Read full article here](#)...



House Republicans Call for Democrat Congressman Adam Schiff to Resign as Chairman of the Intelligence Committee

All nine Republican members of the Intelligence Committee signed a letter demanding that Representative Adam Schiff, a Democrat, resign from his position as Chairman of the Intelligence Committee for leading a well-orchestrated media campaign against President Trump and publicly proclaiming that there is “significant evidence of collusion,” even after Special Counsel Robert Mueller’s report refuted the accusation that Trump colluded with Russia to win the 2016 election.

Republican members of the House Intel Committee include Devin Nunes, Michael Conway, Michael Turner, Chris Stewart, Elise Stefanik, John Ratcliffe, Brad Wenstrup, Eric Crawford and Will Hurd.



Permanent Select Committee
on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
March 28, 2019

The Honorable Adam Schiff
Chairman
Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence
United States House of Representatives
HVC-304, The Capitol
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Chairman Schiff:

Since prior to the inauguration of President Trump in January 2017, you have been at the center of a well-orchestrated media campaign claiming, among other things, the Trump campaign colluded with the Russian government. Indeed, before the appointment of Robert Mueller as Special Counsel, you alleged during one of your frequent television interviews that there was “more than circumstantial evidence” of collusion but that you could not “go into particulars¹.”

Your repeated public statements, which implied knowledge of classified facts supporting the collusion allegations, occurred at the same time anonymous leaks of alleged intelligence and law enforcement information were appearing in the media. These leaks, often sourced to current or former Administration or intelligence officials, appeared to support the collusion allegations and were purported to be related to ongoing investigations of President Trump and his associates.

As you know, the Committee has long been aware of and actively engaged in intelligence oversight activities related to Russian malign activities. As part of our duty to oversee the actions of the intelligence community we conducted a thorough investigation related to the 2016 Russian efforts to interfere with U.S. elections. This investigation included a review of allegations that the Trump campaign colluded with Russia. The Committee found no evidence that President Trump or anyone associated with the Trump campaign colluded, coordinated, or conspired with the Russian government. The Minority views, which you submitted, were attached to the Committee findings. Your views did not provide any evidence to support the claim of collusion.

On March 24, 2019 the Special Counsel delivered his findings to the Department of Justice. The notification to Congress stated, “The Special Counsel’s investigation did not find that the Trump campaign or anyone associated with it conspired or coordinated with Russia in its efforts to influence the 2016 U.S. presidential election².” Special Counsel Mueller’s findings are consistent with those of this committee, as well as the public statements of various Senators on the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence.

¹ MSNBC with Chuck Todd, March 22, 2017

² Letter to Congress. Attorney General William P. Barr. March 24, 2019.

Despite these findings, you continue to proclaim in the media that there is “significant evidence of collusion.” You further have stated you “will continue to investigate the counterintelligence issues. That is, is the president or people around him compromised in any way by a hostile foreign power?”³ Your willingness to continue to promote a demonstrably false narrative is alarming.

The findings of the Special Counsel conclusively refute your past and present assertions and have exposed you as having abused your position to knowingly promote false information, having damaged the integrity of this Committee, and undermined faith in U.S. government institutions.

Your actions both past and present are incompatible with your duty as Chairman of this Committee, which alone in the House of Representatives has the obligation and authority to provide effective oversight of the U.S. intelligence community. As such, we have no faith in your ability to discharge your duties in a manner consistent with your Constitutional responsibility and urge your immediate resignation as Chairman of this Committee.

Sincerely,


Devin Nunes


K. Michael Conaway


Michael R. Turner


Brad R. Wenstrup


Chris Stewart


Eric A. “Rick” Crawford


Elise M. Stefanik


Will Hurd


John Ratcliffe

³ FOX News, *Rep. Adam Schiff doubles down on Trump guilt claims after Mueller probe*, Anna Hopkins, March 27, 2019

[Read full article here..](#)



Massive Coalition Backs Trump's Climate Science Committee

A coalition of 40 leading policy organizations including environmental organizations, activists, think-tanks, and over 100 prominent leaders, signed a letter to President Trump in support of his proposed Presidential Commission on Climate Security. The purpose of the Commission is to provide an independent, scientific review of dubious claims made in federal climate reports. The basis for this move is the preponderance of evidence that climate models have assumed an unrealistic sensitivity to CO₂, have predicted far more warming than actually has occurred; predicted negative impacts based on implausible emissions scenarios; ignored positive impacts of warming, and manipulated surface temperature data show more warming than actually has occurred. -GEG

A massive coalition of environmental organizations, activists, and think-tank leaders [signed a letter](#) to President Donald Trump [supporting the proposed Presidential Commission on Climate Security \(PCCS\)](#), as well as the work of Trump climate and national security adviser Dr. William Happer of Princeton University. The campaign, which

comes amid fierce establishment resistance to re-examining government “climate science,” also backs an independent scientific review of the [increasingly dubious claims made in federal climate reports](#). Analysts say this battle will be crucial in establishing the credibility of government climate science – or the lack thereof.

The coalition letter, signed by almost 40 leading policy organizations and well over 100 prominent leaders, argues that an independent review of federal global-warming reports is “long overdue.”

“Serious problems and shortcomings have been raised repeatedly in the past by highly-qualified scientists only to be ignored or dismissed by the federal agencies in charge of producing the reports,” the leaders and organizations explained. Indeed, in multiple cases, federal bureaucracies have even been accused of [fraudulently manipulating data and findings to support their politically backed conclusions](#).

“Among major issues that have been raised and that we hope the commission will scrutinize: the models used have assumed climate sensitivities to CO₂ concentrations significantly higher than recent research warrants; the models used have predicted much more warming than has actually occurred; predictions of the negative impacts of global

warming have been made based on implausible high-end emissions scenarios; the positive impacts of warming have been ignored or minimized; and surface temperature data sets have been manipulated to show more rapid warming than has actually occurred,” the signatories wrote.

The highly unscientific nature of the claims – many of which cannot be tested or falsified – also casts doubt on the alarmist findings contained in [widely ridiculed federal climate reports](#). “An underlying issue that we hope the commission will also address is the fact that so many of the scientific claims made in these reports and by many climate scientists are not falsifiable, that is, they cannot be tested by the scientific method,” explained the coalition letter to Trump supporting the PCCS, which brought together many of America’s most influential environmental and conservative-leaning public policy organizations.

Perhaps the most alarming element of the whole saga is that this supposed “science” is serving as the pretext for trillions of dollars in government spending, as well as [unprecedented empowerment of governments and international bureaucracies such as the United Nations and its various agencies](#).

The man-made global-warming hypothesis also underpins drastic policy changes that restrict individual liberty and free markets that harm everyone, and especially the world's poorest people, for nebulous alleged benefits. As such, the science must be thoroughly reviewed, and it must be completely transparent, the coalition said.

“The conclusions and predictions made by these reports are the basis for proposed energy policies that could cost trillions of dollars in less than a decade and tens of trillions of dollars over several decades,” the letter explained. “Given the magnitude of the potential costs involved, we think that taking the insular processes of official, consensus science on trust, as has been the case for the past three decades, is negligent and imprudent. In contrast, major engineering projects are regularly subjected to the most rigorous and exhaustive adversarial review. We suggest that climate science requires at least the same level of scrutiny as the engineering employed in building a bridge or a new airplane.”

As *The New American* [reported earlier this month](#), the establishment is in full freak-out mode over the proposed presidential commission on climate science. Far-left Democrats

in

Congress [have slammed](#)

the idea as “dangerous.” A coalition of globalist “national security”

professionals, mostly from the far-left Obama administration, even

claimed reviewing the science would be a threat to “national security.”

The establishment media has gone absolutely bonkers, endlessly demonizing Trump and Happer for failing to genuflect before their

climate beliefs – the faith of a “climate” movement that leading experts

such as MIT meteorologist Richard Lindzen have even described as a

“cult.”

The letter highlighted how bizarre this was. “We note that defenders

of the climate consensus have already mounted a public campaign against

the proposed commission,” the signatories wrote. “We find this opposition curious. If the defenders are confident that the science

contained in official reports is robust, then they should welcome a

review that would finally put to rest the doubts that have been raised.

On the other hand, their opposition could be taken as evidence that the

scientific basis of the climate consensus is in fact highly suspect and

cannot withstand critical review.”

[Read full article here...](#)



'Dark Day for Internet Freedom': EU Lawmakers Approve Controversial Copyright Reform (Article 13)

The European Parliament passed Article 13, a law called 'The Directive on Copyright in the Digital Single Market' that requires anyone sharing copyrighted content to obtain permission from rights owners, even if it is just a picture or news article. To protect their platforms from legal trouble, sites such as Facebook and Wikipedia will now be forced to implement "upload filters" to ensure that user-generated content doesn't violate copyright. The law was passed despite tens of thousands of people who marched in protest across Germany ahead of the vote, decrying what they viewed as online censorship. EU member states now have two years to pass their own laws putting Article 13 into effect. -GEG

Update: Multiple MEPs claim they were "tricked" into voting the wrong way on the Article 13 legislation as an extra vote was reported to have been inserted into the voting list at the last minute which threw most MEPs' voting lists out of sync, but the vote for censorship will stand.

The European Parliament has voted to adopt the highly controversial Article 13 provision which would govern the production and distribution of content online under the auspices of increasing copyright protections.

Tuesday's move will update the EU's 20-year-old copyright rules and will govern audiovisual content, much to the dismay of many social media users who have already begun outpouring their grief online.

However the parliament said in a [statement](#) that sharing memes and gifs has been protected "*even more than it was before*" and they will continue to be available and shareable on online platforms.

MEPs passed the legislation by 348 votes to 274 Tuesday. Opponents had hoped for last-minute amendments to be made but their efforts were in vain.

Julia Reda, a German MEP with the Pirate Party, described it as a "*dark day for internet freedom.*"

Article 13 or 'The Directive on Copyright in the Digital Single Market' makes all platforms legally responsible for the content hosted and shared on their platforms.

The process of updating the bloc's copyright laws began in the European Commission two years ago, ostensibly to protect Europe's publishers, broadcasters and artists and guarantee fair compensation from big tech companies.

EU member states now have two years to pass their own laws putting Article 13 into effect.

[Read full article here...](#)

Update:

The EU's [internet-killing](#) new Copyright Directive has become mired in a fresh layer of controversy after it emerged that multiple MEPs were "tricked" into voting the wrong way on it. Guido understands that an extra vote was inserted into the voting list at the last minute which threw most MEPs' voting lists out of sync. Unlike the Commons where MPs have to physically make the decision to walk through lobbies, MEPs just robotically press buttons according to a long voting list handed out to them. *A clear warning of the dangers of electronic voting...*

At least [13 MEPs](#) have told the European Parliament they accidentally voted the wrong way. Now the EU has modified their individual voting records but has refused to revisit the

result of the vote, despite the fact there was a majority of just 5 MEPs. The EU also rejected a direct request from MEPs to stage the entire vote again. *This blocked MEPs from voting on any amendments, including on the meme-banning [Article 13](#)...*

[Read full article here...](#)

Additional info about Article 13 and gaming:

[Article 13 threatens video game streamers in the EU: survey](#)



Justice Department Endorses Federal Court Move to Eliminate Obamacare in Its Entirety

YOURCOMMENTSHERE

Democrats deflated by the anticlimactic end to Robert Mueller's Russia probe desperately wanted to change the subject – and the Trump administration was only happy to oblige.

House and Senate Democrats on Tuesday seized on the Justice Department's endorsement of a federal court ruling to eliminate Obamacare in its entirety, immediately renewing attacks on the GOP for trying to gut the law's popular protections and rip health coverage from more than 20 million Americans.

The administration's surprise decision – a shift from its prior stance that only parts of the Affordable Care Act should be thrown out – offered a unifying moment for Democrats still grappling with the news that Mueller would not charge President Donald Trump with any crimes and comes as the party readies a fresh legislative offensive on health care.

"It's disgusting. It's horrible," said Rep. Ben Ray Luján of New Mexico, the No. 4 House Democrat. "It's what they were trying to do during the repeal of the Affordable Care Act, it's what Republicans were doing when they filed the lawsuit. The president's been clear about his position the whole time."

And even as Democrats decried the move, they also saw it as a

political gold mine.

House Ways and Means Committee Chairman Richard Neal (D-Mass.) told Democrats in a closed-door caucus meeting Tuesday that the DOJ's decision was "a gift" to Democrats, who have struggled for months to keep the focus on their legislative agenda and not all things Mueller.

[Read full article here...](#)



ZERO Senate Democrats Vote in Favor of Green New Deal, Including Revolution Co-Sponsors. The Green New Deal Aims to Eliminate Cars and

Airplanes

All Senate Democrats abandoned Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez' radical Green New Deal that was written by her team of 'Justice Democrats' advisors. The GND aims to shut down the economy, retrofit every building in America, and eliminate personal vehicles and air travel. Senator Kamala Harris, a 2020 presidential candidate, was a co-sponsor of the resolution last month, but refused to stand behind it in a vote. Senators Cory Booker, Elizabeth Warren and Kirsten Gillibrand, also running in the presidential race, backed away from it, too.

Not a single U.S. Senator – including the Democrats – voted in favor moving the ball forward freshman New York Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez's Green New Deal Tuesday.

The first vote, called by Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell (R-Ky.), would have opened up the floor for debate on a non-binding resolution. For that, the Senate only needs 60 votes. It received none.

"No senator voted to begin debate on the legislation, while 57 lawmakers voted against breaking the filibuster," [according to Fox News](#).

"Democratic Sens. Doug Jones of Alabama, Joe Manchin of West Virginia and Kyrsten Sinema of Arizona joined 53 Republicans in voting 'no.' Sen.

Angus King, I-Maine, who caucuses with the Democrats, also voted no.’”

Forty-two Senate Democrats voted “present.”

McConnell called the vote largely to see where Democrats stood on the measure. None are biting, especially not presidential candidates Sen.

Cory Booker (D-N.J.), Elizabeth Warren (D-Mass.), Kamala Harris

(D-Calif.) or Kirsten Gillibrand (D-N.Y.). The legislation represents a

giant swerve to the left, even for the already-radicalized among the party.

[Read full article here..](#)

Link for Green New Deal Resolution:

<https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/house-resolution/109/text>



Police Accuse Illegal Alien with Killing Four Americans to Steal Money for Meth

Nevada: Wilbur Ernesto Martinez-Guzman, a 19-year-old illegal alien, was charged with murdering four Americans during armed burglaries in their homes in January, in order to steal money to buy methamphetamine, according to police testimony.

An illegal alien charged with murdering four Americans over the course of a week in Nevada did so in order to steal money to buy methamphetamine, a police detective alleges.

Wilbur Ernesto Martinez-Guzman, a 19-year-old illegal alien, was [charged with murdering](#) 56-year-old Connie Koontz, 74-year-old Sophia Renken, 81-year-old Gerald David, and his 80-year-old wife, Sharon David between January 10 and 15 months ago. The state of Nevada is seeking the death penalty.
The illegal alien allegedly

murdered all of the Americans in their homes during armed burglaries.

In a confession to police, authorities said Martinez-Guzman admitted to murdering Gerald and Sharon David using a gun he stole from their house.

Martinez-Guzman has family currently living in Carson City, Nevada – including his mother and some siblings – and has been living illegally in the U.S. for at least a year.

According to Washoe County Sheriff's Detective Stefanie Brady, Martinez-Guzman admitted he murdered the four Americans in order to steal money from them to buy meth, the Associated Press [reports](#):

She says he told her he shot the victims "because of the drugs." [Emphasis added]

"He said he needed the money for the meth and it was the meth," Brady testified, according to the 268-page transcript filed late Tuesday in Washoe District Court. [Emphasis added]

[Read full article here...](#)



San Francisco: Leftist Beats and Kicks 85-Year Old Pro-Life Protester

A leftist stole a pro-life display from protesters participating in the 40 Days for Life campaign outside a San Francisco Planned Parenthood facility and then beat an elderly man who tried to stop him. The San Francisco 40 Days for Life team was victimized by the same man earlier in the week, and he knocked two men to the ground during that incident as well, including the same elderly pro-lifer he attacked again on March 14th. -GEG

This was horrible.

An unhinged leftist stole a prolife display from protesters outside a San Francisco Planned Parenthood facility and then beat an elderly man who tried to stop him.

[Life News](#) reported:

An unknown assailant has repeatedly disrupted, harassed and attacked a peaceful, pro-life prayer vigil in San Francisco.

On Thursday, March 14, an 85-year-old pro-life advocate was

the victim of a brutal attack outside a San Francisco Planned Parenthood facility. The pro-lifer was participating in a peaceful 40 Days for Life campaign when the assailant stole the victim's banner and viciously beat the victim. The same assailant had assaulted the victim and another man just two days prior.

The elderly man tried to stop the theft of the banner by putting a stick holding the banner into the spokes of the bicycle. The perpetrator shoved the man to the ground and began violently and repeatedly kicking and threatening him.

The San Francisco 40 Days for Life team was victimized by the same man earlier in the week. On Tuesday, the perpetrator threw a sign, table and pro-life literature into the street. He knocked two men to the ground during that incident as well, including the same elderly pro-lifer he attacked again on Thursday.

The attacks were reported to law enforcement, and police officers took statements from the victims.

[Read full article here...](#)



All Charges Dropped Against Accused Hate-Crime Hoaxer, Jussie Smollett

Jussie Smollett, the actor who was charged with 16 counts of disorderly conduct for allegedly hiring two black men to attack him in a staged 'hate crime' in January had the charges wiped away by State Attorney Kim Foxx's office. The judge sealed all records of the case. Kim Foxx won the office of State Attorney running on a radical leftist 'justice-reform' platform with funding from George Soros who is trying to upend the American justice system. -GEG

Summary by JW Williams

Jussie Smollett, the actor who was charged with 16 counts of disorderly conduct for allegedly hiring two black men to attack him in a staged 'hate crime' in January was given an a deferred prosecution deal with State's Attorney Kim Foxx's office that will wipe out the charges against him. Prosecutors dropped the charges supposedly based on Smollett's volunteer service over the past seven days consisting of 18 hours work

with Jesse Jackson's PUSH Coalition lobbying organization. The judge sealed the case.

Chicago

police are furious, and the Chicago Police Union wants a federal investigation into Cook County State's Attorney Kim Foxx's handling of Smollett's case. Kim Foxx took office running on a justice-reform platform in 2016 with funding from George Soros who intends to upend the American justice system by placing leftists in the offices of local prosecutors, state's attorneys, and district attorneys.

Smollett

risked setting off a race war by falsely blaming "racist" white Trump supporters, when the evidence pointed to Smollett orchestrating the "attack" by two black men who he allegedly paid.

From Gateway Pundit:

ALL CHARGES WERE DROPPED!!

Via the [Sun-Times](#)—

The actor, who was charged with 16 counts of disorderly conduct for allegedly hiring two men to attack him in a staged hate-crime attack

near his Streeterville home in January, has reached a deferred prosecution deal with prosecutors that will wipe out the charges against him. Smollett will forfeit the \$10,000 he posted for bond.

On Monday Smollett's attorney [Mark Geragos was linked](#) to the Michael Avenatti criminal complaints.

AND – Smollett and his attorneys are STICKING WITH THEIR LIES about the alleged attack!

The Chicago Police are furious!

[Read full article here...](#)

Additional sources:

<https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2019/03/26/george-soros-donated-408k-to-kim-foxx-prosecutor-who-let-jussie-smollett-walk/>

<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2019/03/chicago-police-union-wants-federal-investigation-into-kim-foxxs-handling-of-case-after-she-exchanged-texts-with-smolletts-relatives/>