



FCC Chairman Says Corporate Profit Is More Important Than Health Safety

Tom Wheeler, Former Chairman of the Federal Communications Commission, says the potential for billions of dollars in profit to US telecom corporations justifies rolling out 5G technology without testing or even discussing the possibility that it could cause psychological disorders and cancer. He really says that – and much more you will not like. Prior to being appointed by President Obama, Wheeler worked as a venture capitalist and lobbyist for the cable and wireless industry, holding positions including President of the National Cable & Telecommunications Association and CEO of the Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association. As is customary for FCC chairmen, he resigned when President Trump's administration began.] -GEG



Congress to Investigate FCC for Collusion to Eliminate Local Control Over 5G Deployment – But Is It Too Late?

Few Americans are aware of the rapid deployment of 5G cell towers, often very close to homes, because enabling laws were passed without adequate attention to health and environmental effects. The House Energy and Commerce Committee is just now beginning an investigation into whether the Federal Communications Commission helped telecom companies avoid legal challenges. Critics believe that the FCC is a 'captured agency' that works on behalf of those it regulates. [If you find that hard to believe, be sure to watch the following video.] -GEG

Because of already passed [state](#) and [federal legislation](#), A LOT more 4G and [5G](#) small cell towers and [infrastructure](#) are being installed all over the U.S in front of homes and everywhere else. This is in addition to the countless other small and mega 4G cell towers that have already been installed near homes and throughout communities over the last few years.

Small cell towers

and infrastructure aren't always easily recognizable. This is why many

Americans are still completely unaware about all of this. Regardless, where Americans are aware, there has been increasing vocal opposition to installation due to biological, environmental, and safety risks as well as reduced property value and increased privacy and cybersecurity concerns.

There now also finally seems to be a “*better late than never*” investigation by the House Energy and Commerce Committee about this horrible federal legislation.

From RCR Wireless:

House committee investigates FCC over 5G infrastructure order

The House Energy and Commerce committee has asked the Federal Communications Commission to produce communications records between FCC

employees and network operators related to the commission's recent order

preempting local control and pricing of infrastructure access, alleging

that FCC representatives may have acted improperly in support of an

initiative that is likely to save operators billions in 5G deployment

costs.

“It has come to our attention that certain individuals at the FCC may

have urged companies to challenge the order the commission adopted in

order to game the judicial lottery procedure and intimidated the agency would look unfavorably toward entities that were not helpful," wrote committee Chairman Frank Pallone, Jr. (D-New Jersey) and Mike Doyle (D-Pennsylvania), chairman of the subcommittee on communications and technology, in a [letter to FCC Chairman Ajit Pai](#). "If true, it would be inappropriate for the FCC to leverage its power as a regulator to influence regulated companies to further its agenda in seeking a more friendly court."

The FCC order focused on easing deployment of 5G infrastructure. The primary tenets of the FCC's order included:

- Banning local regulations designed to prohibit wireless infrastructure deployment;
- Standardizing the fee structure cities can charge for reviewing small cell projects;
- Establishing a 60-day shot clock for attaching small cells to existing structures and 90 days for new builds;
- And setting "modest guardrails on other municipal rules that may prohibit service."

The order drew [immediate protests from some civic leaders](#) that the FCC was overstepping its bounds and undercutting local control of infrastructure issues. It [went into effect on Jan. 14th](#), after surviving a legal challenge from some municipalities that questioned the FCC's authority in the case.

But four network operators sued as well, arguing that the FCC did not go far enough in removing infrastructure deployment barriers –

AT&T, for instance, complained that the FCC had refused to adopt the stance that infrastructure placement would be “deemed granted” when a state or local government entity failed to act on an access request within a reasonable amount of time – and they filed in four different federal courts. AT&T sued in the D.C. circuit court; Verizon in the Second Circuit court, which covers New York, Connecticut and Vermont; Sprint filed in the Tenth Circuit, which covers a number of Midwest and Western states from Kansas to Utah and Arizona; and [Puerto Rico Telephone Company](#) filed in the First Circuit court, which has jurisdiction over the territory as well as several Northeastern U.S. states. Meanwhile, cities and municipalities had filed legal protests against the FCC’s action in the Ninth Circuit, which covers West Coast states including California.

A lottery procedure consolidating the cases, triggered by the filing of the cases in multiple federal court districts, initially moved the cases into the Tenth Circuit. A motion from the municipal parties moved it back to the Ninth Circuit, according to the letter from House Democrats.

[Read full article here...](#)



US: Democrats Come Unglued Over Possibility of Starbucks CEO Running for President

`su_note note_color="#ef1a7" text_color="#00000" radius="5"]` Democrats are threatening to boycott Starbucks if former Starbucks CEO, Howard Schultz, runs for president as an Independent. Since Schultz is a leftist, Democrats fear he would split the vote among their supporters, which would hand a second term to Trump. Tucker Carlson says this proves that Democrats care more about winning elections and holding political power than they do about the issues they advocate. He is right about that but fails to mention that Republican leaders would react the same if a well-known pro-liberty candidate entered the race as an Independent against Trump. - GEG[/su_note]



California's New Governor, Gavin Newsom, Moves His Family Away From the City and Avoids Policies He Forces on Others

California's new Governor, Gavin Newsom, is a wealthy leftist who avoids living under the policies he forces on others. He has shunned the Governor's mansion, which is walking distance to the state capital in Sacramento, in favor of a \$3.7-million home in the suburbs, which requires a 1-hour daily commute with his entourage, thus, increasing his carbon footprint. He has moved to a predominantly white neighborhood, which means his children are deprived of the diversity in Sacramento schools, where children speak 43 languages, and 40% do not speak English at home. -GEG