



# **Leftist Student at Florida State University Arrested for Allegedly Throwing Milk on Republican Volunteer**

Shelby Shoup, 19, a student at Florida State University who describes herself as volunteer for Florida Democratic gubernatorial candidate Andrew Gillum, was arrested after she was filmed accusing Republican volunteers at a table of enabling Nazis, and allegedly throwing milk at them. Authorities took Shoup to Leon County Jail, where she was charged with battery. She spent the night in jail and was released the next day.

**Police arrested a 19-year-old Florida State University (FSU) student Thursday for allegedly pouring chocolate milk on a Republican volunteer at a table on campus.**

A Facebook [video](#) of the altercation shows Shelby Shoup, a student at the university who describes herself as a volunteer for Florida Democratic gubernatorial candidate Andrew Gillum, yelling at a group of FSU College Republican volunteers before allegedly throwing chocolate milk on them.

“I hope y’all realize that you are normalizing and enabling Nazis,” Shoup said in the video, which has gained more than

137,000 views on Facebook as of Sunday morning.

“Eleven of my people are f\*\*\*\*\* dead this weekend,” she continued, making a reference to the Pittsburgh synagogue [shooting](#) that killed 11 people.

The woman then yelled, “F\*\*\* Ron DeSantis,” and kicked a Ron DeSantis for Governor sign out of the grass before leaving the scene.

The victim, identified as FSU College of Republicans Vice-Membership Chair Daisy Judge, [told](#) police Shoup poured chocolate milk on her while she was tabling for the college Republican group in two separate instances.

A witness told officers Tuesday afternoon that he began filming the incident after Shoup poured the chocolate milk on her victim a second time.

Florida State University Police issued a warrant for Shoup’s arrest on Thursday, and she turned herself in later that afternoon.

Authorities took Shoup to Leon County Jail, where she was charged with battery. She spent the night in jail and was released the next day.

She is scheduled to appear in court again November 28 for a case management hearing.

[Read full article here...](#)

---



# San Francisco Voted to Allow Water to Flow into Ocean, in the Name of Environmentalism, Instead of Sending it to Farmers to Spite President Trump

California: The Bay Delta Plan that would require the rivers in the San Joaquin watershed to maintain 40% of unimpeded flow into the ocean from February to June, more than twice the current amount of water, which would decrease the amount of water to farmers. The city of San Francisco voted for the Bay Delta Plan to spite President Trump as he threatened to sue if it was implemented, as it would interfere with the operation of key reservoirs owned by the federal government in the San Joaquin Valley. If this plan is implemented, it will drive up water rates as the city is compelled to seek out new, pricey supplies, such as desalination.

[Update](#): Surprisingly, San Francisco Mayor London Breed sided with conservatives and vetoed the Supervisors' unanimous vote for water restrictions that would affect the city's own water supply.

**The San Francisco Board of Supervisors voted unanimously 11-0 on Tuesday to endorse California's new Bay-Delta plan, which will restrict the city's water supply. The reason: to spite President Donald Trump's water policy.**

The State Water Resources Control Board is set to vote November 7 – the day after the midterm election – on a new plan that will require the rivers in the San Joaquin watershed to maintain 40% of unimpeded flow levels from February to June, more than twice the current amount of water. That means taking more water from farmers – and from the city of San Francisco itself, which relies on water from the Hetch Hetchy reservoir on the Tuolumne River.

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, which is technically independent from the politicians on the Board of Supervisors, has opposed the Bay-Delta plan. Earlier this month, the *San Francisco Chronicle* [noted](#) earlier this month that the commission was “concerned that forfeiting water, under the state plan, would prompt mandatory water cuts and drive up water rates as the city is compelled to seek out new, pricey supplies, such as desalination.”

But then Supervisor Aaron Peskin introduced a resolution disapproving of the commission's approach, and backing the Bay-Delta plan. The resolution is non-binding, but supervisors do have leverage over the commission's budget.

The *Chronicle* [noted](#) Tuesday that the supervisors specifically wished to send a message to President Trump, after he issued an executive memorandum Oct. 19 that favored farmers over environmental interests in water policy:

*An early version of the resolution explicitly says the city must divorce itself from the Trump administration and its congressional allies such as Rep. Jeff Denham, R-Turlock, who's branded the state plan a “water grab.” The Trump*

administration has vowed to sue the state if the so-called Bay-Delta plan goes forward, saying it would interfere with the operation of key reservoirs owned by the federal government in the San Joaquin Valley.

*“Under the cloud of climate change denial and anti-science populism, the debate around the Bay-Delta Plan has transcended the realm of rational, environmental discourse toward a political and populist, anti-conservation rally cry, fueled by the strategic lobbying of a federal Republican administration aiming to destabilize California’s status as a Democratic stronghold,” the resolution says.*

*The board toned down that language in the final version passed Tuesday afternoon, opting for a resolution that read in part, “President Trump and his administration have overtly politicized matters better addressed through peer-reviewed, relevant science and innovative solutions to regional water use.”*

The city council of Palo Alto in Silicon Valley took a similar [vote](#) in August, defying its own water department and backing the Bay-Delta plan as a protest to the Trump administration – even though it meant losing precious water.

[Read full article here...](#)



# New York: Mother Loses Custody of Her Son with Leukemia for Refusing Chemotherapy After He Was Given Clean Bill of Health

Candace Gundersen, who's a holistic wellness coach, is fighting to have her 13-year old son removed from chemotherapy treatment for acute leukemia after he was given a clean bill of health by doctors. Gundersen was told when her son was diagnosed that if she refused chemotherapy treatment for him, Child Protective Services (CPS) would have her removed and her son would receive treatment anyway, so she signed the consent forms. The boy had a serious adverse reaction and she refused further outpatient treatments, as she planned to pursue alternative care for him, prompting a doctor to call CPS. The boy was seized and will be forced to get treatments for three years, the standard for care, even though the cancer was declared gone.

MINEOLA, Long Island (WABC) –

A mother on Long Island is fighting to have her son removed from chemotherapy treatment after he was given a clean bill of health by doctors.

Candace Gundersen's son, Nick Gundersen, 13, is receiving court-ordered chemotherapy at NYU Winthrop Hospital in Mineola. He's now in the custody of Suffolk County Child Protective Services.

Gundersen of Huntington said Nick was diagnosed by doctors at Cohen Children's Medical Center with acute leukemia in June 2018. Gundersen said she asked doctors if she could get a second opinion before Nick began chemotherapy on June 30th.

“They told me if I didn’t consent to the treatment they would call CPS and have me removed and my son would have the treatment anyway,” Gundersen said. “So I signed the consent.”

Gundersen, who’s a holistic wellness coach, said Nick was hospitalized for 30 days and suffered an adverse reaction to the chemotherapy.

“He lost over 20 percent of his body weight. He developed typhlitis, which is a life-threatening bowel infection, he had fluid in both his lungs, he was bedridden. He stopped breathing on numerous occasions, he was on oxygen,” she said.

He was discharged on July 24 and continued with outpatient appointments until August 29th. On August 29th, doctors tried to admit Nick but his mother refused. A doctor there called CPS. Cohen Children’s Medical Center did not return Eyewitness News’ request for comment.

On September 2, Gundersen said police officers and CPS showed up at her house at 3:30 a.m. and seized Nick and forced him to return to Cohen. On September 11th, Gundersen had Nick transferred to NYU Winthrop Hospital in Mineola, where he continued with chemotherapy.

In mid-October, doctors at NYU Winthrop gave Nick a clean bill of health and said the cancer was gone, but informed the family that Nick would need three years of chemotherapy, which is considered the standard of care. Gundersen refused, opting for her son to have what she called non-toxic preventative treatments which keep the cancer in remission.

[Read full article here...](#)

---



# Overdose Deaths Soared to 70,000 Deaths Last Year, Yet FDA Approves New Painkiller that Is 1000 Times More Powerful than Morphine

The FDA approved a powerful new opioid painkiller called Dsuvia that's 10 times stronger than fentanyl, the deadly synthetic opioid that's been blamed for the record number of drug overdose deaths recorded in 2017, and 1,000 times more powerful than morphine. The potential that the drug will be diverted and abused is likely. The FDA ignored the objections of lawmakers and its own advisory committee in the process. AcelRx, the manufacturer of Dsuvia, anticipates \$1.1 billion in annual sales, and hopes to have its product in hospitals early next year. Public health risks pale in comparison to the enormous profits that the company stands to reap from sales.

Purdue Pharma and other pioneers of powerful opioid painkillers probably felt a twinge of regret on Friday when the FDA approved a powerful new opioid painkiller that's 10 times stronger than fentanyl – the deadly synthetic opioid that's been blamed for the record number of drug overdose deaths recorded in 2017 – and 1,000 times more powerful than morphine, ignoring the objections of lawmakers and its own advisory committee in the process.



After all that trouble that purveyors of opioids like Purdue and the Sackler family went to in order to win approval –[doctoring internal research](#) and suborning doctors to convince the FDA to approve powerful painkillers like OxyContin despite wildly underestimating the drug's abuse potential – the agency might very well have approved those drugs any way? And opioid makers might have been able to avoid some of the legal consequences stemming from this dishonesty, like the avalanche of lawsuits brought by state AGs.

What's perhaps even more galling is that the FDA approved the drug after official data showed 2017 was the deadliest year for overdose deaths in US history, with more than 70,000 recorded drug-related fatalities, many of which were caused by powerful synthetic opioids like the main ingredient in Dsuvia, the brand name under which the new painkiller will be sold.

Dsuvia is a 3-millimeter tablet of sufentanil made by AcelRx. It's a sublingual tablet intended to provide effective pain relief in patients for whom most oral painkillers aren't effective. The FDA's advisory committee voted 10-3 to recommend approval of the drug, a decision that was accepted by the FDA on Friday. The agency justified its decision by insisting that Dsuvia would be subject to "very tight" restrictions.

[Read full article here...](#)