



# Spokesman for Revolutionary Socialist Party in South Africa Says White “Existence Is a Crime”

Black First, Land First (BLF) is the name of a revolutionary socialist political party in South Africa that is indistinguishable from the Communist Party. Its spokesperson, Lindsay Maasdorp, says white “existence is a crime”. The party promotes the false belief that whites stole all of the land they came to occupy and, therefore, all of it now should be taken by the government. Maasdorp has come under fire in the past for his post on Facebook and Twitter stating, “I have aspirations to kill white people, and this must be achieved!” [For the record, we do not like reporting this story because of the possibility it will enrage white people against black people and, thereby, advance the goals of those who want race war as an excuse for martial law that never goes away. If we did not report it, however, we would be guilty of news censorship.] -GEG

Remember folks: white people are not being targeted in South Africa and President Trump and others who suggest otherwise are conspiracy theorists!

From Daniel Friedman, [Citizen.co.za](http://Citizen.co.za), [“White existence is a crime, says BLF spokesperson”](http://Citizen.co.za):

*The BLF spokesperson has posted views reflecting his party’s*

*belief that all white people in South Africa are criminals by virtue of their existence.*

*Black First, Land First spokesperson Lindsay Maasdorp has told the author of this article and others about the controversial party that my "existence is a crime."*

*He also repeated his party's slogan, "land or death."*

*[...]Maasdorp's assertion that, as a white person, "my existence is a crime," provides some insight into the party's apparent belief that, due to the theft of land by white people during colonisation and apartheid, all white South Africans are therefore criminals, regardless of when they were born and what their political views, history or affiliations may be.*

*At the recent land hearings held in parliament, Mngxitama called for section 25 of the Constitution to be scrapped entirely to ensure that all land owned by white people is returned to their black counterparts.*

*The BLF leader said this section should be replaced with a declaration that all land owned by white people in South Africa was stolen property.*

*Mngxitama has repeatedly made the assertion that absolutely all land occupied by white South Africans should be expropriated.*

*This makes the party the most extreme in South Africa when it comes to the land issue and in its attitude to white people in general.*

*They have been accused of hate speech by the South African Human Rights Commission, who have recommended that the party be prevented from contesting the 2019 elections on the grounds that they have made statements violating the Electoral Act.*

*Maasdorp has come under fire in the past for his posting on both Facebook and Twitter that "I have aspirations to kill white people, and this must be achieved!"*

[Read full article here...](#)

---



## **Four Congressmen, Including Pelosi and Schumer, Confirm in Writing that there Is an Agreement among Intelligence Officials To Withhold Info From the President**

On Monday, President Trump demanded that documents related to the Russia probe be declassified. In response, Democrat Congressmen, Nancy Pelosi, Chuck Schumer, Adam Schiff, and Mark Warner, who are on the Intelligence Oversight Committee, sent a letter to top intelligence leaders reminding them that they had agreed they "would not provide the White House or any of the President's attorneys with access to sensitive information briefed to a small group of designated Members." In other words, these intelligence officials who are part of

the Executive Branch, which is subject to the authority of the President, agreed to withhold information from their boss. [If the President runs true to form, he will do nothing about this. He may not even mention it.] -GEG

I've probably reviewed thousands of congressional letters, and intelligence committee letters from oversight, along with even more executive agency responses. In fact, CTH has shared hundreds of outlines covering granular details within many of the internal memos and correspondences. However, I have *never* seen anything like this before.

The combination of arrogance, hubris and desperation within a letter ([pdf here](#)) from the four Democrats on the intelligence oversight [Gang of Eight](#), is palpable even in text format.

Legislative branch members: Nancy Pelosi, Chuck Schumer, Adam Schiff and Mark Warner write a letter today to Director of National Intelligence Dan Coats, Deputy Attorney General Rod Rosenstein, and FBI Director Christopher Wray, demanding the executive branch cabinet members withhold information from the White House.

Perhaps more stunningly, and extra-constitutionally (*meaning outside the framework of constitutional separation of power*), within the [jaw-dropping letter](#) the four Democrats outline previous verbal conversations and current agreements with Coats, Rosenstein and Wray where the Cabinet officers agreed to keep information away from the White House Chief Executive, the President.

The Honorable Daniel Coats  
Director  
Office of the Director of National Intelligence  
Washington, D.C. 20511

The Honorable Rod J. Rosenstein  
Deputy Attorney General of the United States  
United States Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest  
Washington, D.C. 20530

The Honorable Christopher Wray  
Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
935 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest  
Washington, D.C. 20535

Dear Director Coats, Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein, and Director Wray:

We write to express profound alarm at President Donald Trump's decision on September 17, 2018 to intervene in an ongoing law enforcement investigation that may implicate the President himself or those around him. The action he has taken, to direct your agencies to selectively disclose classified information that he believes he can manipulate publicly to undermine the legitimacy and credibility of the Special Counsel's investigation, is a brazen abuse of power. Any decision by your offices to share this material with the President or his lawyers will violate longstanding Department of Justice policies, as well as assurances you have provided to us.

On June 5, 2018, we first wrote to Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein and Director Wray to express deep concern that the President and his legal team sought to abuse the President's power to interfere with the Special Counsel's ongoing investigation and undermine the Department of Justice and Federal Bureau of Investigation's lawful and appropriate activities. We underscored that providing the White House and the President's lawyers access to classified information and investigatory material of the utmost sensitivity – including information related to the Special Counsel's investigation that implicates the President's own campaign and associates – would grossly violate our system of checks and balances, fundamental norms, and long-standing, well-founded, and established procedure. Absent an indictment, moreover, the subjects of federal investigation should not be able to access law enforcement or related national security information for any reason.

On June 27, 2018, we wrote again to memorialize the verbal assurance you provided us that DOJ and FBI would not provide the White House or any of the President's attorneys with access to sensitive information briefed to a small group of designated Members.

1

That third paragraph is particularly interesting: *...“the verbal assurance you provided us that DOJ and FBI would not provide the White House“...*

On June 27, 2018, we wrote again to memorialize the verbal assurance you provided us that DOJ and FBI would not provide the White House or any of the President's attorneys with access to sensitive information briefed to a small group of designated Members.

Why would the Trump DOJ and FBI be giving Pelosi Inc. “assurances” of their intent to withhold intelligence from the White House (Office of the President).

Why would Rosenstein and Wray be giving “assurances”? I digress.

[Read full article here..](#)

---



## **Project Veritas Exposes Employee at DOJ Who Uses Government Databases to Stalk Individuals With Political Views She Does Not Like**

Allison Hrabar, a paralegal at the Department of Justice and active member of the Democrat Socialists of America, says she and her co-workers resist President Trump’s policies from the inside and that she is lucky to work for the DOJ because she cannot be fired. She led a protest at the home of a Washington DC lobbyist, Jeremy Wiley, who works on behalf of a company that builds prisons. She admitted using government resources to find Wiley’s address and to run a license-plate report on his car, which is in violation of the Hatch Act.

.

In another interview, it is learned that Jessica Schubel, the former Chief of Staff for the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services during the Obama administration, now acts as a conduit for current employees who are part of the covert 'resistance' movement against Trump. They send leaked material to her, which she forwards to the media that uses it to move public opinion against Trump. -GEG

**On Wednesday [Project Veritas](#) released the second installment in their undercover video series unmasking the Deep State.**

[The first report](#) in this series featured Stuart Karaffa, an avowed socialist who admits to engaging in political activism while working at the Department of State.

This video features a Department of Justice paralegal, Allison Hrabar, who reportedly uses government owned software and computers to push a socialist agenda and punish people she disagrees with.

Also featured is Jessica Schubel, the former Chief of Staff for the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services during the last Obama administration.

Hrabar herself appears to illegally use government-owned property to engage in DSA activities. The video shows a meeting with Cliff Green, another DSA member, stating that Hrabar uses the government's Lexis Nexis account to find home addresses to stage DSA protests targeting non-DSA members:

*"So Allison is a paralegal, so she, her living is researching people, so she's very good at researching people. So, they just find the companies, and then the people that run those companies, and then they find their home address. She uses Lexis Nexis and a couple other software things."*

[Read full article here...](#)



# How Sweden Transformed into a Wealthy Capitalist Economy After Socialist Policies and High Taxes Failed

Johan Norberg's new documentary, 'Sweden: Lessons for America', should be required for Democratic Socialists in America because it describes the high taxes and social problems that faced Sweden in the 1970s and 80s during the socialist experiment. Although socialism is the word commonly used to describe the system in Sweden during those years, it is the wrong word. The government never owned the means of production. Instead it regulated production and consumption and heavily taxed the people – which is the model of fascism. Nevertheless, Sweden reversed course and adopted free-market capitalism in the 1990s by reforming pensions, education, healthcare, and taxes. Even utilities were deregulated. Norberg says that, if America wanted to follow Sweden's successful turnaround, the US would need to adopt free trade, deregulate more markets, reform Social Security, lower corporate taxes, remove all taxes on property and inheritance, and switch to private-school vouchers. [Marxists will never agree to that, even if they thought it would be good for the economy because, to them, control over the people is more important than prosperity.] -GEG