



F. William Engdahl Explains the Rockefeller Origins of GMOs and Their Role in Population Reduction

Author and Researcher, F. William Engdahl, describes how the Rockefeller family funded molecular biology to create new species. He says the so-called 'green revolution' was developed at Harvard Business School in the 1950s with Rockefeller funding. The goal was to replace small, independent farms with large, corporate farms producing single crops. The Rockefellers and Rothschilds are involved in this primarily because of their fascination with eugenics, which is the science of population control through selective breeding, sterilization, and reduction of fertility rates. -GEG



16 Questions about the Las Vegas Shooting That Mainstream Media Will Not Ask

There are many conflicting stories about the recent shooting in Las Vegas, including witnesses who say there were more than one shooter. Some have video, which they say shows gunfire coming from a lower window. In our view, however, the videos do not show this. This article presents 16 questions being ignored by the media. We think questions 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11 and 15 are especially significant. -GEG

The public is not being told the truth about what really went down in Las Vegas. As you will see below, the evidence is mounting that there were multiple shooters and that this was an operation that was planned well in advance. But according to the mainstream media, a 64-year-old retired accountant with a flabby physique that had no military training whatsoever and that wasn't very experienced with guns was able to pull the whole thing off all by himself. We are being told that Paddock was a "lone wolf" that didn't have any ties [to terror groups](#), and since he is now dead nobody is ever going to be able to interrogate him. But the American people definitely deserve some answers about what took place, and that means that all of us should keep digging.

The following are 16 unanswered questions about the Las Vegas shooting that the mainstream media does not want to talk about...

#1 Photos of Stephen Paddock's hotel room have been leaked, and one of those photos appears to show [a suicide note](#). Why hasn't the public been told what is in that note?

#2 Were there additional shooters? A taxi driver clearly captured video of an automatic weapon being fired [out of a lower level window](#). A [video from another angle](#) and brief footage captured [by Dan Bilzerian](#) also seem to confirm that automatic gunfire was coming from a floor much lower than the 32nd floor room that Stephen Paddock was located on. And if you weren't convinced by the first three videos, this [fourth video](#) should definitely do it.

#3 Why were law enforcement authorities discussing ["another suspect on the fourth floor"](#), and why isn't the mainstream media talking about this?

#4 As Jon Rappoport has pointed out, it would have been [impossible](#) for Stephen Paddock to kill and wound 573 people in less than five minutes of shooting with the kinds of weapons that he is alleged to have used. So why won't law enforcement authorities acknowledge this fact?

#5 How in the world did Paddock get [42 guns](#) and "several thousand rounds of ammo" into his hotel room without anyone noticing?

#6 How did someone with ["no military background"](#) and that wasn't a "gun guy at all" operate such advanced weapons? Because what we are being told by the mainstream media just doesn't make any sense whatsoever. I really like how [Natural News](#) made this point...

Far from what the firearms-illiterate media claims, these are not systems that any Joe off the street can just pick up and

use to effortlessly mow down 500 people. Running these systems requires extensive training, experience and stamina. It is physically impossible for a guy like Stephen Paddock to operate such a system in the sustained, effective manner that we witnessed, especially when shooting from an elevated position which throws off all the ranging of the weapon system.

Far from being a Navy Seal, Stephen Paddock is a retired accountant senior citizen with a gambling problem and a flabby physique. The only way he could have carried out this shooting is if he were transformed into a human superweapon through a magic wand. I'm calling this "Mission IMPOSSIBLE" because of the physical impossibility of a retired, untrained senior citizen pulling this off.

#7 Why was one woman telling people in the crowd that [they were all going to die](#) 45 minutes before the attack?

#8 Why did it take law enforcement authorities [72 minutes](#) to get into Stephen Paddock's hotel room?

#9 Why did Paddock wire [\\$100,000](#) to the Philippines last week?

#10 Why was Paddock's girlfriend, Marilou Danley, in the Philippines when the attack took place? Did she know what was about to happen?

#11 Was Paddock on antidepressants like so many other mass killers in the past have been?

#12 Why was ISIS [so eager](#) to take responsibility for this attack, and why was the FBI so quick [to dismiss that connection](#)?

#13 Apparently Paddock had earned millions of dollars ["through real estate deals"](#). If he was so wealthy, why would he all of a sudden snap like that?

#14 Why did he move so frequently? It is being reported that Paddock had 27 different residences during his adult life.

#15 Why were nearly all of the exits out of the concert venue [completely blocked](#)?...

[Read full article here...](#)



People in the UK Now Face Up to 15 Years in Prison for Viewing ‘Far-Right Propaganda’

UK: The government announced that those who view ‘far-right propaganda’ or ‘terrorist material’ online will face up to 15 years’ imprisonment. [Don’t worry about the fact that the government will define opposition to its policies or indignation over corruption as ‘far-right propaganda’. The government says there will be “protections” for people who view the forbidden content by mistake or out of curiosity – journalists, academics, and “others who may have a legitimate reason to view such material.” Again, guess who will decide who has a ‘legitimate’ reason to read news articles like this if a government agent says it is right-wing propaganda?] -GEG

People in the United Kingdom could face up to fifteen years in prison for repeatedly viewing “far-right propaganda” or “terrorist material” online, according to a report.

[According](#) to the *Guardian*, “A new maximum penalty of 15 years’ imprisonment will also apply to terrorists who publish information about members of the armed forces, police and intelligence services for the purposes of preparing acts of terrorism,” while the “tightening of the law around viewing terrorist material is part of a review of the government’s counter-terrorism strategy following the increasing frequency of terrorist attacks in Britain this year.”

Users who view the forbidden content only once by mistake, or out of curiosity, will not be charged, and it is reported that there will also be protections for journalists, academics, and “others who may have a legitimate reason to view such material.”

“I want to make sure those who view despicable terrorist content online, including jihadi websites, far-right propaganda and bomb-making instructions, face the full force of the law,” [declared](#) British Home Secretary Amber Rudd. “There is currently a gap in the law around material [that] is viewed or streamed from the internet without being permanently downloaded.”

“This is an increasingly common means by which material is accessed online for criminal purposes and is a particularly prevalent means of viewing extremist material such as videos and web pages,” she continued.

Both Rudd and Prime Minister Theresa May have [frequently expressed interest](#) in cracking down on the Internet and implementing censorship.

[Read full article here...](#)



Budweiser Beer, the NFL's Largest Sponsor, Wants Your Opinion

The customer hotline for Anheuser-Busch, the parent company of Budweiser beer, crashed after a wave of calls criticizing the anthem protests and Anheuser-Busch's sponsorship of the league. In response, they have set up an option on their consumer hotline specifically for fans to register their feelings on the recent national anthem protests in the NFL. The beverage giant has a \$1.5 billion contract with the NFL through the 2022 season, and its flagship Bud Light product is the league's official beer. All the details are in the link below.

Call (800) DIAL BUD – or (800) 342-5283 – the first option on the menu will be one for registering how you feel about the anthem protests.

“If you are calling with questions or comments about Anheuser-Busch's sponsorship of the NFL, press one,” the recorded message says.

Pressing one will get you this: “At Anheuser-Busch, we have a long heritage of supporting the nation's armed forces, veterans and military dependents. The national anthem is a point of pride for our company and for [the 1,100 veterans](#) that we employ. Please feel free to share your feedback after the

tone.”

[Read full article here...](#)