



# The US Commission on Civil Rights Condemned Violence from The Alt Right But Not from The Antifa Left

The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights condemned violence from alt-right demonstrators in Charlottesville, Virginia, but voted down a proposal to also condemn violence from the far-left group, Antifa. The Commission decided that violence is acceptable if the political views of the perpetrators are acceptable. –GEG

The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights was [quick to condemn](#) the white supremacists involved in the violence in Charlottesville, Virginia, but they voted down a proposal to also condemn violence from the the far-left group Antifa.

In a vote to include Antifa in the official commission statement, four Democratic commissioners voted “no.” The Republican who proposed it, Gail Heriot, and the Republican who seconded the proposal, Peter Kirsanow, were the only two who voted “yes.”


“Though we support peaceful protest and note that most of the counter-demonstrators were peaceful, we condemn violence by anyone, including violence by so-called Antifa demonstrators,” Heriot proposed, [according to the Washington](#)

[Examiner.](#)

On “Tucker Carlson Tonight,” Kirsanow said the commission unanimously agreed that white nationalists and neo-Nazis should be condemned for their role in the violence, but the Democratic commissioners resisted including Antifa in the resolution because of their own political leanings.

[Read full article here...](#)

---



## **PayPal Blocked Anti-Jihad Group from Receiving Donations Online**

PayPal closed the account of JihadWatch.org because two left-biased organizations, the Southern Poverty Law Center and the Anti-Defamation League, consider it a hate group that exhibits “extreme hostility toward Muslims.” Facebook promised the Pakistani government that it would remove provocative criticisms of Islam. –GEG

**Online payment company PayPal has banned Jihad Watch and the site’s director Robert Spencer from accepting online donations using the service.**

PayPal has reportedly suspended the account of Jihad Watch,

according to the website's director, Robert Spencer. In a [post](#) on Jihad Watch calling for the boycott of PayPal, Spencer outlined his correspondence with the company over a number of emails. PayPal's suspension of Spencer's account came shortly after an article published by Lauren Kirchner of [ProPublica](#) who criticized tech companies such as PayPal that allow Jihad Watch to utilize their online services.

"Because of its "extreme hostility toward Muslims," the website Jihadwatch.org is considered an active hate group by the Southern Poverty Law Center and the Anti-Defamation League," wrote Kirchner. "But its designation as a hate site hasn't stopped tech companies – including PayPal, Amazon, and Newsmax – from maintaining partnerships with Jihad Watch that help to sustain it financially."

Shortly after the publication of this article that PayPal contacted Spencer with an email which stated,

*Dear Robert Spencer,*

*We have recently reviewed your usage of PayPal's services, as reflected in our records and on your website <https://www.jihadwatch.org>. Due to the nature of your activities, we have chosen to discontinue service to you in accordance with PayPal's User Agreement. As a result, we have placed a permanent limitation on your account.*

*We ask that you please remove all references to PayPal from your website.*

*This includes removing PayPal as a payment option, as well as the PayPal logo and/or shopping cart.*

*If you have a remaining balance, you may withdraw the money to your bank*

*account. Information on how to withdraw money from your PayPal account can be found via our Help Center.*

*We thank you in advance for your cooperation. If you have any questions or need our support, please contact the PayPal Brand Risk Management Department at [aup@paypal.com](mailto:aup@paypal.com).*

*Sincerely,  
Ronita  
PayPal Brand Risk Management  
PayPal*

Breitbart reached out to Spencer, who gave the following comment on his sudden suspension from PayPal:

*People have complained for years about the Southern Poverty Law Center's "hate group" list lumping legitimate groups in with the KKK, neo-Nazis, etc. Now this has teeth, as PayPal and others are closing their platforms to those who dissent from the establishment Leftist line, based on the SPLC's hit list.*

*The Left sees blood in the water after Charlottesville and is moving in for the kill, attempting to delegitimize, silence and destroy all dissenting voices. The freedom of speech, the foundation of a free society, is being eroded away under our very noses. The recent meeting of the Vice President of Facebook with the Pakistani government, and his assurances that Facebook would remove all criticism of Islam, reveal what's really going on here: not an attempt to shut down actual "hate speech," which would result in the closing of many mosques, but an attempt to shut down all criticism of Islam in accord with Sharia blasphemy laws, with the goal of allowing the jihad to advance unopposed and unimpeded.*

The SPLC has previously been accused of using its list of “hate figures” as a political tool to silence conservatives. Spencer alleges that the group is doing exactly that by listing Jihad Watch as a “hate site.” Journalist Ken Silverstein has previously [called](#) the SPLC “essentially a fraud,” and stated that the SPLC had “a habit of casually labeling organizations as ‘hate groups.’” Silverstein also said, “In doing so the SPLC shuts down debate, stifles free speech, and most of all, raises a pile of money, very little of which is used on behalf of poor people.”

[Read full article here...](#)

---



## **Boston Free-Speech Rally Fizzles, 40,000 Show Up to Protest It**

Boston: A free-speech rally fizzled and attracted fewer than 100 people before it was shut down. As many as 40,000 people gathered to oppose the rally, having been told it was linked to racism, fascism, and the Charlottesville violence. –GEG

A Boston, Mass., free speech rally was cut short after police officers escorted the participants from the premises early Saturday afternoon.

The “Free Speech” rally, hosted in the Boston Common for “libertarians, conservatives, traditionalists, classical liberals, Trump supporters or anyone else who enjoys their right to free speech,” ended about an hour after it started, with Boston police officers showing up to escort some of the participants from the area, [reports](#) MassLive.

Only about 40-60 people showed up in support of the free speech event, while thousands of counter-protesters came out to rally against the “Free Speech” rally, according to local media reports. One of the speakers for the event said the rally ended up “falling apart” due to poor planning on the part of the organizers.

[Read full article here...](#)



## **‘Hate Crimes’ on UK Social Media Will Be Considered as Serious as Face-to-Face Offenses**

UK: ‘Hate crimes’ on social media will be treated as seriously as street-based offenses, according to new guidelines issued by the Crown Prosecution Service. A dramatic increase in prosecutions is expected for those posting on social media

platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram.

[Hate crimes](#) committed on social media will be treated as seriously as similar street-based offences, according to new guidelines issued by the Crown Prosecution Service as they launch a crackdown on hate speech online.

Recognising the “corrosive effect” of hate crime on British society, Alison Saunders, the director of public prosecutions, announced the CPS will now revise prosecutions of such crimes “with the same robust and proactive approach used with offline offending”.

The changes could lead to a dramatic increase in those being prosecuted for posting prejudice attacks on social media platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram.

Jenny Wiltshire, the head of general crime at Hickman and Rose solicitors, told *The Independent* the change in policy from the CPS reflects “the fact that the internet has become a breeding ground for misogynist, racist and homophobic views”.

She continued: “The decision to treat offences committed online no differently from offline offences will undoubtedly lead to an increase in prosecutions. It will also remind the trolling brigade that there are real consequences for hitting the button”.

According to the CPS the policy statements have been updated to take into account the mounting number of cases sparked by abuse on social media and covers all the different strand of hate crime, including religious and racist, disability, homophobic, biphobic and transphobic.

They added that between 2015-16, prosecutors completed 15,442 hate crime cases – the highest number ever recorded.

[Read full article here...](#)



## **Bannon Driven Out by War Agenda, the Ultimate Hate**

The 'Sane Progressive' shows that war is the business model of the deep state and explains how both Democrats and Republicans are committed to it. This may shatter your world view.

Debbie Lusignan, known on the internet as the Sane Progressive, revealed why Steve Bannon was squeezed out of his position as President Trump's chief of strategy: he objected to war.

The [New York Times](#) even referred to Bannon as the "resident dove" in the White House who objects to making military threats and deploying troops across the globe, including Afghanistan, North Korea, Syria and Venezuela.

She said that after General Flynn was pressured to resign, Trump appointed General HR McMaster as his national security advisor to placate the deep state so that he may finish out his term in office. President Trump then gave decision-making power to the generals, which affects foreign policy. She characterized McMaster as a war monger who is supported by liberal interventionists and right wing neocons, and bankers and billionaires. She described Secretary of State Rex Tillerson, General McMaster and General Mattis as war criminals.

Lusignan says that war is the driving agenda of of our government, and the media is at its service.

War is the business model.

She pointed out the hypocrisy of Anti-Trump protesters who



rail against hate, yet they are silent or supportive of war. War is the physical embodiment of hate.

The deep state and their mouthpiece, the media, are making it toxic to associate with Trump, in order to pressure Republicans in Congress to abandon him and his policies. Empire destroys from within and the media sets the discussion. She called out the media for their lies, and said that they are traitors to our country and to humanity.

The media continually hammers the message that Trump must be opposed. The controllers have painted themselves into a corner, however, because they will want support for Trump if he pushes war.

She pointed out that the Russian collusion investigation accomplishes three things: it obfuscated election fraud, it advanced the wars based on lies, and it frames anyone who speaks out against the wars as a security threat.

Finally, she revealed the underlying plot of how the military industrial complex, which is controlled by a handful of bankers, plans to bring our country into full scale war:

- Marginalize the opposition and make them seem to be fringe groups, like the Alt-Right, many of whom voted for Trump because he wanted to leave of Syria. Liberals against war are also being lumped in with the radicals in Antifa and are now labeled the Alt-Left.
- The [Countering Foreign Propaganda and Disinformation Act](#), passed overwhelmingly by both parties and signed by Obama in 2016, authorizes the State Department and Defense Department to promote propaganda and to crack down on any media outlet and claim that it is a national security threat.
- People who oppose war and the alternative media may now be charged with being a security threat.
- The final step is a police state.

The Sane Progressive then says that our efforts should be directed at refusing to support the mainstream media's lies and stop doing what the controllers want us to do.

---



## Ron Paul Chooses Sides on the Left vs. Right Debate

Ron Paul, responding to queries from Libertarians asking who to support, the alt-right or the alt-left, says it is a false choice, because both groups advocate authoritarianism. He says the alt-right elevates racial identity over individual identity and favors massive welfare and entitlement spending for their race. He criticized the alt-left Antifa crowd for being Marxist and elevating class identity over individual identity and wanting government to run the economy, even though government intervention is the mechanism that allows crony collectivism and monopolies. –GEG

Following the recent clashes between the alt-right and the group antifa, some libertarians have debated which group they should support. The answer is simple: neither. The alt-right and its leftist opponents are two sides of the same authoritarian coin.

The alt-right elevates racial identity over individual identity. The obsession with race leads them to support massive government interference in the economy in order to benefit members of the favored race. They also favor massive welfare and entitlement spending, as long as it functions as a racial spoils system. Some prominent alt-right leaders even support abortion as a way of limiting the minority population. No one who sincerely supports individual liberty, property rights, or the right to life can have any sympathy for this type of racial collectivism.

Antifa, like all Marxists, elevates class identity over individual identity. Antifa supporters believe government must run the economy because otherwise workers will be exploited by greedy capitalists. This faith in central planning ignores economic reality, as well as the reality that in a free market employers and workers voluntarily work together for their mutual benefit. It is only when government intervenes in the economy that crony capitalists have the opportunity to exploit workers, consumers, and taxpayers. Sadly, many on the left confuse the results of the “mixed economy” with free markets.

Ironically, the failure of the Keynesian model of economic authoritarianism, promoted by establishment economists like Paul Krugman, is responsible for the rise of the alt-right and antifa.

[Read full article here...](#)