



Al Gore's New Global-Warming Climate Movie Gets Cold Reception

Former Vice President Al Gore's new film, *An Inconvenient Sequel*, came in a dismal 15th last weekend at US theaters. His supporters are blaming Paramount Pictures, the distributor, for the low numbers, suggesting that they are falsifying attendance records to cheat Gore out of his rightful royalties. The simple truth is that the public is no longer believing the lie. [See the free broadcast of our own debunking of Al Gore, [Global Warming, An Inconvenient Lie](#), starting September 4.] –GEG

Former Vice President Al Gore's new film, *An Inconvenient Sequel*, came in a dismal 15th this weekend at U.S. theaters, [according to Box Office Mojo](#).

Gore's defenders have been quick to blame Paramount Pictures for the dismal performance of Gore's sequel. "Al Gore Gets Ripped Off Again," [screamed the headline of D.R. Tucker in Washington Monthly](#).

"This was not supposed to happen," Tucker wrote, adding, "he should have demanded a recount."

"Sadly, the box-office under-performance of *An Inconvenient Sequel* will be seized upon by climate-change deniers as 'proof' that Americans don't really care about this issue," Tucker wrote.

According to [Deadline Hollywood](#), Gore's sequel "grossed \$900K, averaging \$5,000 (per screen). That brought its cume (cumulative) over seven figures, landing at \$1,052,000. Its weekend gross placed it 15th in the overall box office as of Sunday morning. Paramount said it will expand the title to over 500 locations next weekend.

Gore fans like Tucker are now reduced to blaming the distributor.

"A botched strategy by Paramount Pictures effectively sabotaged the nationwide release of the Al Gore documentary *An Inconvenient Sequel: Truth to Power*, which finished in 15th place in US theatres this weekend. This was not supposed to happen," Tucker explained.

Many climate activists and Gore apostles were hoping for a re-run of the success of Gore's 2006 original film or of a Michael Moore style boom at the box office.

Tucker wrote: "Considering the fact that this is arguably the first major anti-Trump documentary to hit theatres—and considering the public outrage over Trump's decision to pull out of the Paris climate agreement—Paramount should have stuck to its original plan; in fact, Paramount could have seized upon anti-Trump sentiment by giving *An Inconvenient Sequel* the same high-profile national rollout that Lionsgate gave [Michael Moore's] *Fahrenheit 9/11* in 2004, a rollout that resulted in that iconic film opening at #1 at the US box office, a rarity for a documentary."

"It's a shame that Paramount dropped the ball, giving the film a 'national' release in so few theatres that most Americans must wait until the film is available on demand or on DVD in order to see it," Tucker explained.

[Read full article here...](#)



Muslim Professor Funded by Taxpayers Says Genocide of White Racists is Morally Required

Mohammed Abed, a California State University, Los Angeles, professor of ethics, social and political philosophy and also Islamic philosophy, says that genocide of white racists is morally required. [He does not provide a clear definition of who is a 'white racist' but uses the example of slave holders in pre-Civil War America. He does not include Islamic slave holders that prevailed for centuries in the Middle East. However, Abed is really talking about today's world, not the old world. So, who are the 'white racists' of today who must be murdered out of obedience to morality? Abed does not say, but we are safe in assuming it includes anyone who supports Donald Trump, the Republican Party, and especially anyone who wants to stop mass immigration from Muslim countries.] –GEG

Mohammed Abed, a California State University, Los Angeles (CSULA) tenure-track [professor](#) of ethics, social & political philosophy, and classical Islamic philosophy believes that genocide of white racists is “morally required”.

Mohammed Abed claims that sometimes you just *have* to commit genocide to save the world from the evil people...like white racists.

[David Cole](#) broke down Abed's academic paper "[The Concept of Genocide Reconsidered](#)" which was originally published in 2006. Cole says in a Taki's Mag post:

Abed reasons, it's sometimes "morally required" to commit genocide, and he hasn't been shy about advancing that argument in a series of lectures and essays that have somehow managed to stay under the radar of the media (especially the right-leaning media) over the past few years.

Abed lays out his central thesis in the paper's abstract: "Genocide is not in any sense distinctively heinous. Nor is it necessarily immoral."

Morally justified genocide? Abed realizes this might be a tough sell:

Many will no doubt be shocked by these claims. Surely a view that has such unsavory implications should be rejected. In fact, it ought to be condemned in no uncertain terms. Reactions of this sort are overblown.

Of course, any such objections by fellow academics were almost certainly silenced once Abed named the skin color of the targets of his "moral genocide":

One can certainly concoct a hypothetical scenario in which the deliberate annihilation of a group's way of life is a "moral and political imperative." And there may be a case for classifying as genocide campaigns of social destruction that are widely considered to be not only excusable but morally required. The institution of slavery in the American South was, arguably, a comprehensive way of life and worldview to which many whites were profoundly attached. It would not be wildly implausible to say that their investment in the culture and norms of the slave-owning community rivaled in its social meaning and significance an individual's affiliation with a national or

religious group. But because the kidnapping, enslavement, and lifelong exploitation of innocent human beings was a constitutive and thus ineliminable feature of the life led by many Southern whites, annihilating their way of life was a moral imperative. The right course of action was to strip them of an identity that gave meaning to their lives.

Interestingly and to no one's shock, when Mohammad Abed was confronted about the Europeans facing extinction or at least an annihilation of their way of life after millions of Muslim migrants have flooded their countries, Abed argues that Muslims intend to adopt the customs of their host country rather than alter them. 1400 years of Islamic destruction is evidence to the contrary, but when have facts ever mattered to Islamic supremacists?

[Read full article here...](#)



Trump Campaign Manager Raided Without Probable Cause While DNC Crimes Remain Ignored

FBI agents, working with Special Counsel Robert Mueller, broke into the home of Paul Manafort, Trump's former campaign

manager, in a predawn raid last month as part of its ongoing search for evidence of wrongdoing. Manafort attended Donald Trump Jr's meeting with the Russian lawyer that Trump's critics claim was illegal. After eight months, investigators into the allegations of Russian collusion have found no evidence of wrongdoing. [Compare that with the fully documented crimes of Hillary Clinton and the DNC that are being totally ignored by Congress and the bureaucracy, and we begin to see how deep the Washington swamp really is.] -GEG