



## Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) Accounts for Only 4% of Greenhouse Gases

Dr. Tim Ball, an academic with 50 years' experience in Historical Climatology, explains that CO<sub>2</sub>, which comprises only 4% of Earth's greenhouse gases, does not cause significant change in temperature. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (an agency of the UN), limited its study of global warming to human causes only. It never looked at causes in nature or from the Sun. That means they are saying humans are the primary cause of global warming without knowing what else causes it. [That is not sloppy science, it is corrupted science. They did not examine natural causes because the people who write their paychecks want to pretend they are saving the planet while they increase taxes and controls.] -GEG

President Trump was correct to withdraw from the Paris Climate Agreement. He could have explained that the science was premeditated and deliberately orchestrated to demonize CO<sub>2</sub> for a political agenda. Wisely, he simply explained that it was a bad deal for the United States because it gave a competitive

economic edge to other nations, especially China. A [majority of Americans](#) think he was wrong, but more would disagree if he got lost in the complexities of the science. I speak from experience having taught a Science credit course for 25 years for the student population that mirrors society with 80 percent of them being Arts students. Promoters of what is called anthropogenic global warming (AGW) knew most people do not understand the science and exploited it.

✘ The plants need more atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> not less. Current levels of 400 parts per million (ppm) are close to the lowest levels in 600 million years. This contradicts what the world was told by people using the claim that human production of CO<sub>2</sub> was causing global warming. They don't know the UN agency, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), established to examine human-caused global warming, were limited to only studying human causes by the definition they were given by Article 1 of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). It is impossible to identify the human cause without understanding and including natural causes. Few know that CO<sub>2</sub> is only 4 percent of the total greenhouse gases. They assume that a CO<sub>2</sub> increase causes a temperature increase. It doesn't, in every record the temperature increases before CO<sub>2</sub>. The only place where a CO<sub>2</sub> increase causes a temperature increase is in the computer models of the IPCC. This partly explains why every single temperature forecast (they call them projections) the IPCC made since 1990 was wrong. If your forecast is wrong, your science is wrong.

I studied weather as aircrew with the Canadian Air Force, including five years of search and rescue in Arctic Canada. After the Air Force, I went to university to study weather and climate, culminating in a Ph.D., in Historical Climatology from the University of London, England. When I began in the late 1960s global cooling was the consensus. I was as opposed to the prediction that it would continue cooling to a mini-Ice

Age, as I later was to the runaway AGW claim. I knew from creating and studying long-term records that climate changes all the time and are larger and more frequent than most know. I also knew changes in CO2 were not the cause.

The Club of Rome (COR), formed in 1968, decided that the world was overpopulated and expanded the Malthusian idea that the population would outgrow the food supply to all resources, especially the developed nations. COR member Maurice Strong told Elaine Dewar in her book *Cloak of Green* that the problem for the planet were the industrialized nations and it was everybody's duty to shut them down. Dewar asked Strong if he planned to seek political office. He effectively said you cannot do anything as a politician, so he was going to the UN because:

*He could raise his own money from whomever he liked, appoint anyone he wanted, control the agenda.*

After five days with him at the UN she concluded:

*Strong was using the U.N. as a platform to sell a global environment crisis and the Global Governance Agenda.*

He created the crisis that the by-product of industry was causing global warming. Even Obama claimed that 97 percent of scientists agree. If he checked the source of the information, he would find the [research was completely concocted](#). It is more likely that 97 percent of scientists never read the IPCC Reports. Those who do express their concern in very blunt terms. Consider German meteorologist and physicist Klaus-Eckart Puls experience.

*“Ten years ago, I simply parroted what the IPCC told us. One day I started checking the facts and data – first I started with a sense of doubt but then I became outraged when I discovered that much of what the IPCC and the media were telling us was sheer nonsense and was not even supported by any scientific facts and measurements. To this day, I still*

*feel shame that as a scientist I made presentations of their science without first checking it."*

He discovered what I exposed publicly for years. My challenge to the government version of global warming became increasingly problematic. They couldn't say I wasn't qualified. Attacks include death threats, false information about my qualifications posted on the Internet, and three lawsuits from IPCC members. Most people can't believe that such things occur about opinions in a democratic society. Test the idea by telling people that you don't accept the human-caused global warming idea. The reaction from most, who know nothing about the science, will invariably be dismissive at best.

I documented what went on in a detailed, fully referenced, book titled *The Deliberate Corruption of Climate Science*. A lawyer commented that it lays out and effectively supports the case, however, it was "a tough slog." I recently published a brief 'non-slog' handbook (100 pages) for the majority of people, not to insult their intelligence, but to help them understand the science and its misuse for a political agenda. Titled, [Human Caused Global Warming: The Biggest Deception in History](#). Presented in the logical form of a criminal or journalistic investigation it answers the basic questions, Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.

[Read full article here...](#)