



Adult Victims of Vaccine Damage Can Sue Manufacturers in the US

Merck, the manufacturer of Zostavax, a vaccine for shingles, is being sued for injuries from the jab that include: contracting shingles, blindness in one eye, partial paralysis, brain damage, and death. There is a federal law that bars people from suing vaccine manufacturers, however, it applies only to vaccines recommended for children and pregnant women. The shingles vaccine is for adults. Merck has asked for a change of venue in a search for a friendly court to throw out the cases. -GEG

Victims of vaccine damage can sue manufacturers in the US

Victims of vaccine damage *can* sue manufacturers in the US

It's happening now...

Major media aren't giving this story the coverage it deserves. I certainly am.

Short question: Can a person sue a US vaccine manufacturer?

Short answer: Under certain conditions, yes.

Note: I'm not framing this article as professional legal

advice. I'm reporting what I've been able to dig up on a very explosive issue so far. I've communicated with two lawyers and a law professor. I've been pointed to an important passage on a federal web page.

Right now, lawyers and their clients are suing Merck, the manufacturer, for injuries incurred from Merck's shingles vaccine, Zostavax.

Among the claimed injuries: contracting shingles; blindness in one eye; partial paralysis; brain damage; death.

One of the plaintiffs' attorneys told me he has already filed two cases in California. Each case has 50 plaintiffs. He states he has 5000 clients waiting in the wings. There are other attorneys with other plaintiffs.

But wait. Isn't there a federal law that bars people from suing vaccine manufacturers?

Isn't that law the 1986 Childhood Vaccine Injury Act? Doesn't it demand that people go to a special federal "vaccine tribunal/court" and plead for compensation from the government?

Aren't vaccine manufacturers shielded from liability for causing injury?

Well, it turns out there are exceptions to the rule.

Adult vaccines are not part of the 1986 federal law.

The law shielding vaccine companies only applies to childhood vaccines.

The Merck shingles vaccine is only for adults.

The special federal "vaccine tribunal/court" is established as part of the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VICP). This is where parents who claim their children were

injured by vaccines must go, to ask for compensation from the government—not from vaccine manufacturers.

But on a web page of the US Dept. of Health and Human Services, under “Health Resources and Services Administration,” we see [“Frequently Asked Questions.”](#) And we read this rather opaque statement:

“In order for a category of vaccines to be covered, the category of vaccines must be recommended for routine administration to children by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention...” [Note: On this clumsy FAQ web page, you have to click on “View Answer” under the following question to see it: “If a new vaccine product is licensed, what needs to occur before the vaccine will be covered by the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VICP)?”]

What does “covered” mean? It means “covered exclusively by the federal compensation program.” It means a parent who believes her child has been injured by a vaccine goes to the special federal “court.” The vaccine must be FOR CHILDREN. However, an adult seeking compensation for vaccine injury, FROM AN ADULT VACCINE, would, with a lawyer, argue his case in ordinary state or federal court. That adult would sue the vaccine manufacturer.

This message from the federal government is clear. The ban against suing vaccine manufacturers only applies to vaccines recommended for children (and pregnant women). The ban does not apply to adult vaccines.

[Read Full Article Here...](#)



Torture and Murder of Whites in South Africa is on the Rise Due to Anti-White Rhetoric from Ruling Party, African National Congress

South Africa: Attacks and murder of whites is on the rise. The rate of intentional homicide in South Africa is more than fifteen times greater than the world average. It could even be worse, because South African police have stopped releasing statistics. The rise in farm attacks is blamed on anti-white hate speech by the ruling African National Congress. South African President Jacob Zuma recently called for the confiscation of white-owned land without compensation. A member of parliament shouted: "Bury them alive!" during a speech about the plight of white farmers. -GEG

'Bury them alive!': White South Africans fear for their future as horrific farm attacks escalate

March 25, 2017 6:16am



Robert Lynn and Susan Howarth were tortured at their farm in South Africa.

WARNING: GRAPHIC CONTENT

LAST month, British woman Sue Howarth and her husband Robert Lynn were woken at 2am by three men breaking into a window of their remote farm in Dullstroom, a small town in the northeast of South Africa, about 240km from the nearest capital city.

The couple, who had lived in the area for 20 years, were tied up, stabbed, and [tortured with a blowtorch for several hours](#). The masked men stuffed a plastic bag down Mrs Howarth's throat, and attempted to strangle her husband with a bag around his neck.

The couple were bundled into their own truck, still in their pyjamas, and driven to a roadside where they were shot. Mrs Howarth, 64, a former pharmaceutical company executive, was shot twice in the head. Mr Lynn, 66, was shot in the neck.

Miraculously he survived, and managed to flag down a passer-by early on Sunday morning. Mrs Howarth, who police said was

“unrecognisable” from her injuries, had multiple skull fractures, gunshot wounds and “horrific” burns to her breasts.

“Sue was discovered amongst some trees, lying in a ditch,” writes Jana Boshoff, reporter for the [local Middelburg Observer newspaper](#). “Her rescuers managed to find her by following her groans of pain and then noticing drag marks from the road into the field.

“Her head was covered with a towel. Her eyes were swollen shut. She was partially clothed with just scraps of her shirt remaining. Her breasts and upper body was bloody. The plastic bag, shoved down her throat, took some effort to remove because her jaw was clamped down tightly.

“How she managed to breathe with the bag in her throat remains a mystery. One of her rescuers later recalled how Sue was unresponsive except for the constant groaning. Whilst the man ran back to the road to see if an ambulance has not arrived yet, she managed to curl one of her arms around her breasts in a last attempt to protect herself.”

She was rushed to hospital and placed on life support, but died two days later. Due to her British nationality, her murder attracted an unusual [amount of overseas media attention](#).

[Read Full Article Here...](#)



Journalists Banned from Shanghai Event Offering US Immigrant Visas to Chinese Who Invest \$500K in Kushner Property Deal

Shanghai: Journalists were barred from a publicly advertised event that offered Chinese investors the chance to get US immigrant visas if they put at least \$500,000 in a real-estate project linked to Jared Kushner, the son-in-law of President Trump. The developers are seeking to raise \$150 million through the EB-5 visa program. –GEG

Organisers barred journalists on Sunday from a publicly advertised event in Shanghai that offered Chinese investors the chance to get U.S. immigrant visas if they put money in a real estate project linked to the family of President Donald Trump's son-in-law.

The two-tower luxury apartment complex in New Jersey, One Journal Square, is being developed by KABR Group and the Kushner Companies, which until recently was headed by senior White House advisor Jared [Kushner](#), the husband of Trump's daughter Ivanka.

The developers are seeking to raise \$150 million, or 15.4 percent of funding for the project, from investors through the EB-5 visa programme, according to marketing materials posted by the event's organiser, immigration agency Qiaowai.

The controversial EB-5 programme allows wealthy foreigners to, in effect, buy U.S. immigration visas for themselves and families by investing at least \$500,000 in certain development projects.

“Sorry, this is a private event,” said a man stopping journalists from entering a function room on Sunday afternoon at the Four Seasons Hotel in Shanghai.

Guests at the event said Kushner’s sister, Nicole Kushner Meyer, spoke for about 10 minutes, including about her family’s humble roots.

According to the New York Times, Meyer attended a similar event in Beijing on Saturday and told the audience of about 100 people the project “means a lot to me and my entire family”.

Jared Kushner, whose White House portfolio includes relations with China, sold his stake in Kushner Companies to a family trust early this year. His lawyer said in a statement in March that Kushner was fully complying with ethics rules, removing himself from active participation in his prior businesses and divesting assets.

A Kushner Companies spokeswoman declined to comment in a New York Times article about the Beijing event published on Saturday.

The Times story said Meyer did not respond when asked if she was concerned about possible conflicts of interest facing her brother. Journalists from the Times and Washington Post were removed from Saturday’s Beijing event, the newspapers reported.

[Read Full Article Here...](#)

[Additional Video & Kushner Family Company Apology](#)



France: Emmanuel Macron Won French Election, and Marine Le Pen Conceded

Emmanuel Macron won 65% of the vote and will become France's youngest leader since Napoleon Bonaparte. Far-right critic of the EU and immigration, Marine Le Pen vowed to create a strong opposition force and called on patriots to support her. –GEG

Emmanuel Macron won France's presidential election Sunday after his opponent Marine Le Pen conceded shortly after polls closed at 8 pm.

Le Pen called Macron to [concede](#) the election after the centrist candidate was projected to have won [approximately](#) 65 percent of the vote in the country's run-off election. Reports showed a low voter turn out for an election that was considered to be a determining factor in France's future in Europe.

"A new chapter in our long history begins tonight. I want it to be one of hope and renewed confidence," [said](#) Macron after his victory.

[Sponsored Links by](#)

Le Pen [noted](#) that French citizens voted for "continuity." She promised to create a "number one opposition force" to counter globalization in France, calling upon "all patriots to join" her new movement.

The 39-year-old Macron is France's youngest leader since Napoleon Bonaparte and comes into office as a relative unknown with limited political experience as a former economic minister.

Le Pen, a known skeptic of the European Union, has long advocated for a "France-first" policy, specifically regarding immigration and economics. She [warned](#) in March that the EU "will die" and promised a referendum on France's membership in the union.

[Read Full Article Here...](#)



First-Ever Peer-Reviewed Study of Vaccinated vs Unvaccinated Children Shows Vaccinated Kids Have a Higher Rate of Sickness, 470% Increase in Autism

The first-ever, peer-review study has been published comparing total-health in vaccinated and unvaccinated children. Dr. Anthony Mawson led a research team that investigated the

relationship between vaccination exposures and acute or chronic illnesses in home-schooled children. The vaccinated children had a much higher rate of autism and ADHD, at a rate of 470% higher than those who received no shots. Vaccinated children were also more vulnerable to allergies and eczema. Unvaccinated children contract mild childhood diseases more frequently, but their vaccinated counterparts suffer pneumonia and ear infections more frequently. The finding that vaccination introduces a significant risk for autism is devastating to the vaccine industry and, therefore, will be vigorously attacked. –GEG

Pilot Comparative Study on the Health of Vaccinated and Unvaccinated 6-12 Year Old US Children

In a development that autism parents have long anticipated, the first-ever, peer-reviewed study comparing total health outcomes in vaccinated and unvaccinated children was released on line yesterday. According to sources close to the project, the study had been reviewed and accepted by two different journals, both of which pulled back on their approval once the political implications of the findings became clear. That's largely because, as parents have long expected, the rate of autism is significantly higher in the vaccinated group, a finding that could shake vaccine safety claims just as the first president who has ever stated a belief in a link between vaccines and autism has taken office.

Working in partnership with the National Home Education Research Institute (NHERI), Dr. Anthony Mawson led a research team that investigated the relationship between vaccination exposures and a range of over 40 acute and chronic illnesses in home schooled children, a population chosen for its high proportion of unvaccinated children. Surveying families in four states—Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi and Oregon—the study (officially titled *Vaccination and Health Outcomes: A Survey of 6- to 12-year-old Vaccinated and Unvaccinated Children based on Mothers' Reports*), reported a number of

startling findings.

Vaccinated children were significantly more likely than the unvaccinated to have been diagnosed with a neurodevelopmental disorder: most notably, the risk of being affected by an Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) was 4.7 fold higher in vaccinated children; as well, ADHD risk was 4.7 fold higher and learning disability risk was 3.7 fold higher. Overall, the vaccinated children in the study were 3.7 times more likely to have been diagnosed with some kind of neurodevelopmental disorder.

Vaccinated children were also significantly more likely to be diagnosed with an immune-related disorder. The risk of allergic rhinitis (commonly known as hay fever) was over 30 times higher in vaccinated children, while the risk of other allergies was increased 3.9 fold and the eczema risk was increased 2.4 fold.

With respect to acute illness and infectious disease the outcomes were in some respects surprising. As might be expected, unvaccinated children were significantly (4-10 times) more likely to have come down with chicken pox, rubella or pertussis. Perhaps unexpectedly, the unvaccinated children were less likely to suffer from otitis media and pneumonia: vaccinated children had 3.8 times greater odds of a middle ear infection and 5.9 times greater odds of a bout with pneumonia.

The study was based on a survey with participants recruited in a process led by NHERI and coordinated through 84 state and local homeschool groups. The survey itself was, according to the authors, "nonbiased and neutrally worded."

These findings in a study population of 666 children, 261 of whom (39%) were unvaccinated, are sure to stir controversy, in part because it is the first of its kind. The scientific literature on the long-term effects of the vaccination program is virtually silent. Most studies on the safety of vaccines

only consider immediate or short-term effects. There was no obvious explanation for the differences in health outcomes observed between the vaccinated and unvaccinated groups of children other than vaccination itself.

The finding that vaccination is a significant risk for autism is the most explosive finding in the paper. For well over a decade, parents concerned that vaccines were involved in autism's sharp rise have been calling for what has long been labelled the "vax/unvax" study. Public health officials such as Paul Offit have resisted these calls with claims that a comparative study of autism risk and other health outcomes in unvaccinated and vaccinated children would be *retrospectively impossible* and *prospectively unethical*.

Despite opposition from those like Offit, attempts to launch a formal vax/unvax study have been made for many years. In 2006, Congresswoman Carolyn Maloney (D, NY) authored what is now called Vaccine Safety Study Act. Said Maloney to the opponents, "Maybe someone in the medical establishment will show me why this study is a bad idea, but they haven't done it yet." In 2007, Generation Rescue (one of the Mawson study's sponsors) retained a market research firm to undertake a similar survey (it is available on line and had similar findings but was never published in a scientific journal).

Less formal surveys focused on whether or not autism was present in the unvaccinated have also been undertaken in unusual populations, including the Amish and the patients of alternative health practitioners. Age of Autism founder Dan Olmsted investigated autism in the Amish, who vaccinate less frequently. Autism is rare among the Amish and the only autistic Amish children we discovered were also vaccinated. (Others reported cases in Amish children with birth defects, but not "idiopathic autism," the kind that occurs in otherwise typical children who are the heart of the current epidemic). The late Mayer Eisenstein reported in his HomeFirst practice in Chicago that he delivered more than 15,000 babies at home,

and thousands of them were never vaccinated. Of these unvaccinated children, none had autism.

The link between autism and vaccination became a hot topic in this year's presidential election. Democratic candidate Hillary Clinton once tweeted ““The science is clear: The earth is round, the sky is blue, and #vaccineswork. Let's protect all our kids. #GrandmothersKnowBest.” In contrast, President Donald Trump has long been outspoken about the likely connection between vaccines and autism. As early as 2007, Trump remarked, “When I was growing up, autism wasn't really a factor. And now all of a sudden, it's an epidemic. Everybody has their theory, and my theory is the shots. They're getting these massive injections at one time. I think it's the vaccinations.”

[Read Full Article Here...](#)